OUTSIDENESS
2013–2023

NICK LAND

Noumena Institute
Adelaide
2025

TITLE: Outsideness: 2013–2023.

AUTHOR: Nick Land.

PUBLISHER: Noumena Institute.

CITY OF PUBLICATION: Adelaide.

PUBLICATION YEAR: 2025.

ISBN: 978-0-646-71270-3.

COVER ART: Jon.

SUBJECTS: Philosophy (Western).

CITATION: Land, Nick. *Outsideness: 2013–2023*. Noumena Institute, 2025.

Q. Would you go forward, please, to tab 74? This is more tweeting by Nick Land. This is in 2016. He says: "Fact. White subnormals are (ideologically) the niggers of the earth. Also fact: they're white subnormals (Jewy machinations notwithstanding)." Then he says, "Of course they get a bum rap. The only people who will articulate that above the level of inchoate howl will be power-crazed hucksters." Then he says, "Going out on a limb here. I'm going to guess that it's the poor dim Jews who get disproportionately shoah'd." The reference to "shoah'd," that is a reference to the holocaust, is it not?

A. *Yes.*

Q. Is this an antisemitic series of statements by Mr. Land?

A. *I find it difficult again to interpret precisely what Nick Land is saying here. He is a very ironic writer. He is referring to elements in discourse using complex rhetorical techniques. I don't think he is saying these things in terms of his actual positions per se. He is referring to something. I don't really know what he is saying, to be honest.*

Miller & Power v Turner,
Day 1, October 3rd, 2023, pp. 82–83.

CONTENTS

FOREWORD 9
2013 13
2014 25
2015 31
2016 37
2017 51
2018 67
2019 83
2020 91
2021 99
2022 105
2023 109
ENDNOTES 121

FOREWORD

NICK LAND created his Twitter account (@Outsideness) in late 2013 and used it avidly until losing access to it in late 2023.[*] *Outsideness: 2013–2023* appears in 2025 not as a unique work of philosophy but as a collection of Land's most interesting and important Twitter writings in chronological order, preserving them physically. Each sentence has been freed—with the help of @doomcrypt—from the cold recesses of the 𝕏 servers, where billions of other words have faded into oblivion.

When Land first logged in, posts were restricted to 140 characters, forcing brevity and compactness. Then, in 2017, the character limit was increased to 280, allowing for more detailed thought. These changes are generally reflected in Land's output, with longer, more thorough writings appearing after the early years.

The editorial work has formalized the prose; threads have been consolidated into paragraphs and micro-essays; threads featuring two or more people have been rendered into dialogue format; and links have been archived and listed in the "Endnotes" section at the end of the book.

As for the contents, the most frequent unique keywords include democracy, human, intelligence, civilization, liberalism, leftist, Western, IQ, society, theory, government, communism, accelerationism, and philosophy. Those familiar with Land's work at *Xenosystems* should feel quite at home.

[*] Land's new account is @xenocosmography.

OUTSIDENESS

2013–2023

Nick Land

2013

Shorter Rawls: assuming just dogmatic egalitarianism allows us to conclude dogmatic egalitarianism.

§

The proletariat is too inert to build the future, the bourgeoisie too timid. For break out, both have to be secretly slaved to mad science.

§

Any law that is too long to be easily memorized is only being obeyed by accident (or due to a serendipitous conformity to common norms) unless it is deliberately directed at atypical social agents, of a kind able to sustain their own legal departments (clearly a bad sign).

§

Succinct religious prohibitions, common law conventions, and constitutional protections are respected because they are pointedly memorable.

§

The challenge would be to compact an entire constitution into a tweet, but it would lack the recursion required by a self-protective seed-AI.

§

Pull all the chimp emotion / signalling out of "good" and what remains is intelligence optimization.

§

For “empathic altruism” to be “simply good,” Malthus—who draws attention to the unseen (complicated)—must be simply wrong. He is not.

§

There is no viable attractor for strategic integration of the outer right except geopolitical fragmentation (secession / dynamic geography).

§

Tightening feedback loops is right, loosening them is left. The divisive question: How much does sensitivity to actual consequences matter?

§

For the left, all perception means compromise, and thus spiritual failure. To be realistic is to fall from ideological grace.

§

Order is an emergent property. Any realistic “politics of order” has to align with the conditions that generate order (or “extropy”).

§

To support “order” without an understanding of its genesis is like supporting “cool products” (rather than a functional economy).

§

“Scientific” reductionism is just so many empty words unless you make a serious effort to dismantle yourself.

§

For the scale-free fragmentationist, secession does not stop until you are picking apart your mitochondria.

§

Constitutions are designs and operating instructions for mechanisms. They do not rule any more than rocket blueprints blast into orbit.

§

To reject the principle of constitutional mechanism leaves only the choice between a monarch and a mob—both patently unworthy of trust.

§

By arithmetizing incentives, money facilitates precision engineering solutions to social problems. Even the cuddliest fuzzy values impede them.

§

Democracy is the homage that the world's second oldest profession pays to its first.

§

The only robust ends are general purpose means—the Shoggoth philosophy.

§

Religious arguments, rigorously conceived, proceed from the "consequences" or implications of eternity for time (and history).

§

If time can be comprehended without reference to anything outside time, it needs to be a far deeper idea of time than any yet advanced.

§

Today's "things kinda sorta seem okay" economy makes no sense without the understanding that asset bubbles are hyperinflation for rich people.

§

Conservatives are too responsible to play chicken. That is proving to be a fatal self-inhibition. Eventually, inevitably, Atlas must shrug.

§

When you are fighting a parasite, you are going to lose if your primary motivation is making sure there is plenty of food on the table.

§

When you are seriously sick, your body starves you until it is over. Nature knows what it is doing that way.

§

Hunger is vastly preferable to feeding the enemy. If you do not see that, you are not yet serious. (Whilst they are nourished, they win.)

§

Cultivate intelligence, until the primacy of the practical over the theoretical makes sense, and your brain is taking a Chinese lesson. Mou Zongsan explicates the basic orientation of Chinese philosophy most rigorously and lucidly, training it against Kant. Essentially: thought must be cultivated if it is to happen at all, and cultivation is a practical project.

For modernists, the most helpful analogy might be the precedence of technique to science, algorithms to theorems. A critique, then, of the presumption of theoretical priority. Worth exploring, even if you are inclined to scepticism—perhaps especially if you are inclined to scepticism. (It might suggest that Pyrrho has been systematically misunderstood.)

§

The Soviets were brought down by bad cybernetics. It is looking as if history still rhymes. (Forget politics and focus on bugs.)

§

The right's main problem (Mao notwithstanding): whoever ends up with the cities wins.

§

Cities and civilization are a single topic, as etymology attests, and no bath in Sodom and Gomorrah can last long enough to change that.

§

To be cold (or "just"), think the Mandate of Heaven: rulers that fail have no claim upon the sympathies of the scrupulous reactionary mind.

§

If you think idiocracy is arriving too slowly, there is a tried and tested solution—communism:

> In fact, the "covariance" between morality and intelligence has become quite pronounced in recent times. This is particularly true of one of the "new-fashioned" moralities, of the type we are constantly assured we need to replace the old ones in the name of promoting "human flourishing." The one I have in mind is Marxism, and never did such a new secular religion, complete with a revolutionary new morality, introduce itself to the world with more extravagant promises of the "human flourishing" to come. That's where the usefulness of the proposed metric comes in. I would maintain, quite apart from what was promised, that one of the most remarkable aspects of the reality of Marxist "human flourishing" that we have now been fortunate enough to witness has been the decapitation of at least two countries; the former Soviet Union and Cambodia.

> In round numbers, 25 million of a population of something under 200 million in the Soviet Union, and two million of a population of around seven million in Cambodia, were shot, starved, or tortured to death in these two countries in the interest of promoting "human flourishing." These millions were not randomly chosen. They were, in fact, an instance of reverse eugenics in action. The historical source material is there in abundance for anyone who cares to look. Read, for example, Solzhenitsyn's *The Gulag Archipelago*, or *Survival in the Killing Fields* by Haing Ngor and Roger Warner. In both cases, the victims came disproportionately from the ranks of each nation's best and brightest; its scientists, its engineers, its literary and philosophical intelligentsia, and anyone else who happened to be educated beyond the mean.
>
> It seems to me wildly implausible that these events had no significant impact on the heritable cognitive abilities of the populations of these two nations, whether in the form of IQ or any other plausible measure. Would not a metric of exactly what these effects were be extremely useful in helping us decide whether the whole project of coming up with yet another wonderful new morality is really in our best interests or not? Who knows, we might find out that there are actually better ways to promote "human flourishing" after all.[1]

§

The basic case against democracy is that it weaponizes stupidity. What should be an object of vague sympathy becomes a detestable enemy.

§

Universal enfranchisement is the H-bomb of ideological subversion. (With worse long-term consequences for our genes.)

§

Discussing AI exposes the Western theo-philosophical tradition as a subtle form of brain damage, warping even geniuses like Yudkowsky. To see this kind of talent go so obviously and hopelessly wrong is just sad (Yudkowsky, 2011).[2] What a waste. The utter confusion exhibited in the last paragraph says it all—as if advanced AI could easily have "uninteresting" values.

Advanced intelligence requires that intelligence be a value to itself (self-cultivation)—"but what if it's a paper-clipper?" If you cannot see what is wrong with this kind of thinking, you have been programmed by a messed-up culture to a scary extent.

§

Conceptually consistent remix: "orthogonality" sounds better than "this is a list of things we don't want the AI to think about."

§

Karl Marx's greatest mistake was not recognizing that the capitalist bourgeoisie are roll-over pussies. (Schumpeter is more insightful on that.)

§

Is it sound and fair to summarize the Christian position as "the ultimate tragedy has already happened, and it wasn't so bad"?

§

It might be impossible for people to fully accept how much history was, is, and will always be horrified spectating.

§

In Greek tragedy, even the chorus, embedded in the midst of the dramatic action, helplessly spectates. That is institutionalized wisdom.

§

The whole point of being on the outer right is to make thinking uncomfortable. Once it starts to look cozy, it is time to break things up.

§

Discrimination is the sole defence against chaos. (That is not extremist politics, but mainstream thermodynamics.)

§

If you have an ideological problem with filters, you are proposing submergence in raw reality. (Safe prediction: you will not like raw reality.)

§

Modernism has two basic features: abstraction and non-discrimination. The former is a dimension of excellence that the latter obscures.

§

To retreat from the cultural adventure of abstraction would be an idiocy without peer, but non-discrimination has already taken that slot.

§

To reject modernity in general in the name of lost discriminations is radically indiscriminate, thus representing modernity at its dimmest.

§

The sole expertise truly indispensable for a modern public intellectual is thought avoidance.

§

Every great artwork is an intrinsic act of discrimination, and there are still great artworks being created today. The “art

world," however, has far deeper problems, beginning with the absorption of "greatness" into radical sarcasm.

§

Modernism does not belong to the dull hordes of the left. What better evidence for this than their orchestrated decision to destroy it?

§

Suzhou Gardens are a great place to see what civilization looks like, even if the answer today is implausible: too crowded.

§

When I claim the right to think, the Cosmos cannot even be bothered to laugh. (I do not care about that.)

§

Modernity rejects teleology with such deep consistency that it makes mechanical explanation the most fully elaborated *telos* in history.

§

Providential theology, evolutionary naturalism, and catallactic praxeology are all driven to tell us what apparent teleology really is.

§

The single most important fact in cultural sociology is that there are no time experts, yet everyone thinks it is being "done" somewhere.

§

Tolerance of uncertainty (an intellectual virtue) is not to be confused with the disabling of pattern recognition for political convenience.

§

We swim against 500,000,000 years of cognitive urgency when we hold open the unknown, but deliberate ignorance is a far more recent thing.

§

"Houston, we have a problem." For Pyrrhonians, the space program was justified by this phrase.

§

End of the ultra-Protestant lineage: if intellectual liberty proves calamitous for society, then calamity it is. (My colours on that mast.)

§

Influential liberals are not stupid, but forward thinking: "If people see X, they will be sure to conclude Y, so . . . blindness is mandatory."

§

The point of NR is not to engineer a new aristocracy, but to expose the fact that engineering equality has been a failure and a lie.

§

One thing shared by every decadent age: in retrospect their preoccupations are seen as pathetically inadequate to the coming crisis.

§

Dynamic diversity is robust, expressive diversity is fragile, and crisis selects strongly for robustness. Those who try many things win.

§

Essentially, democracy is the principle that liberty is debatable.

§

The willingness to obliterate entire countries in order to make a point shows that “correct” opinion has become a force of mass destruction.

§

If suffering was really a serious objection to anything, nothing would exist.

§

American conservatism is communism in rehab. The idea that democracy can conduct popular demands is Rousseauistic delusion. Only exit-based social mechanisms can do that.

§

One hour of 2013 left in Shanghai. It has been quite a year for the Qwerwolves of the outer right.

2014

However impressive plans sound, if they are serious about feedback responsiveness they cannot expect to last.

§

The horror of Lovecraft (for the Cathedral): you cannot shun or ritualistically purify yourself of that which has already slithered inside.

§

The right can be just as imprisoned in its sweet feelings as the left, and often on exactly the same questions that make the left the left.

§

“Peel off a very thin layer of civilization, and you are swallowed up among thieving primates. So, what are you going to do about that?”

§

If America (like “Vietnam”) was primarily the name of a war, we would understand its historical function far better.

§

The mainstream “right” is the catamite of the left. That is a fact it has decided—with increasing desperation—not to think about.

§

“Moral panic should be respected as an obstacle to the investigation of reality.” If this maxim does not repulse you, you are not one of us.

§

“Creepiness” is a subjective adjective. It is the cry of radical dishonesty, lured into an encounter with itself.

§

Just plunged into a moment of darkness and disconnection among croaking frogs—a reminder that the apocalypse will not be twittered.

§

The centrality of media to the Cathedral means the coming crisis will involve a grotesque orgy of self-reflexive cultural vulgarity. Every second wasted upon the media phenomenon feels like a defilement, yet this is also incontestably the thing itself.

§

Moldbug’s monarchism is over-emphasized relative to his parallel critical insight: alien colonial rule is preferable to domestic democracy.

§

Libertarians are typically urban types who have never walked through a city with their eyes open.

§

“Capitalism is racist” is a more fashionable version of “civilization is racist.”

§

Bane to NRx: “The left is not a political opposition; it is a mindless disease (and perhaps—in the hands of a master—a bioweapon).”

§

Because Evola has no insight into the *telos* of capitalism (technological singularity), he sees only surface effects:

> I have previously discussed the analogy that exists between the single individual and a collective entity, and the legitimacy that this analogy was accorded in the ancient past. I have also remarked that in modern times the dimension of sociopolitical organization has descended from a plane in which the vital, material part is subordinated to higher faculties, forces, and goals, to a plane in which this higher dimension is lacking or, worse yet, through an inversion, deprived of its own dimension and subordinated to inferior functions, which in the single individual correspond to the merely physical plane. The counterpart of this, in the State, is the *economy*. I will now consider the phenomenon in question from the perspective of this particular aspect.
>
> As I have said before, when the right and primacy of interests higher than those of the socioeconomic plane are not upheld, there is no hierarchy, and even if there is one, it is only a counterfeit; this is also true when a higher authority is not accorded to those men, groups, and bodies representing and defending these values and interests. In this case, an economic era is already by definition a fundamentally anarchical and antihierarchical era; it represents a subversion of the normal order. The materialization and the soullessness of all the domains of life that characterize it divest of any higher meaning all those problems and conflicts that are regarded as important within it.[3]

If capitalism was in its ultimate reality a mechanism for the satisfaction of human needs, it would indeed be contemptible. Instead, it negotiates with human needs only to draw

recalcitrant apes into realizing something far greater than themselves.

§

Capitalism diverts productive activity from status symbolism to the enhancement of capabilities (intelligence optimization). Starting from where it does (among status-obsessed apes), it has to learn to traffic in the signs of status to do so. The capabilities ("capital") produced are not reducible to human phenomenology—that is a form of anti-realism.

§

If you think teleology is simply about subordinating means to already-publicized ends, you have not actually begun to think about it.

§

Romanticism is the assertive form of the recalcitrant ape mind. It is NRx at its most magnificent . . . and its most stupid. (The lost cause.)

§

Global terrorism is basically Western media, assisted by a few maniacs:

> The primary motive of terrorism is to draw media attention to the perpetrators' grievances.
>
> When Western media cover a terrorist incident, they reflexively focus upon the perpetrators' grievances.
>
> Coverage of this kind isn't *about* terrorism. It's an organic component *of* terrorism.[4]

§

Thomas Mann's *Der Zauberberg* plays with the conceit that an ultra-Catholic social theorist can credibly represent fundamental Marxist ideas. Given some of the odd trends on

the Neoreactionary "right" recently, I am beginning to think he was onto something insightful.

§

Getting a small number of ideas exactly right is far more important than building a "movement."

§

Why history is annoying, explained: whining-ability is highly *g*-loaded.

§

Cultural cladistics is primarily a study of ethnicity, and only derivatively of ideology. Ethnogenesis is not mere intellectual persuasion. The philosophes had interesting ideas. The puritans were a new people.

§

Prediction: by the end of 2014, numerous outer right sympathizers will have become opportunistically de-disillusioned by democracy. Our pedagogic task: "right" electoral advance means nothing—or even less—unless accompanied by a firm strategy to dismantle democracy.

§

Conservation of momentum is Neoreactionary.

§

Those slave-owners had a brilliant idea: use Africans to turn money into lung cancer. (Unfortunately, it went downhill fast after that.)

§

To invest people with positive political rights is to weaponize them against civilization.

§

OUTSIDENESS: Those denouncing the 333-current for "White supremacism" seem to think it is saying: "White people built the Cathedral, and that is great!"

@MR_ARCHENEMY: *Did I miss something? What is this 333 thing about?*

OUTSIDENESS: "Dark Enlightenment" = 333 (from Gnon).[5]

§

The fundamental presupposition of religious studies is that religion has nothing to do with anything.[6]

§

Politics is the deposit on the street that bad art treads in.

§

If you think talking about "attractors" frees you from the embarrassment of teleology, you might be treating language as a hipster wardrobe.

§

If read (properly) as a *reductio ad absurdum* of the Labor Theory of Value, *Das Kapital* is a magnificent theoretical achievement.

§

Deracinated cosmopolitans are neither a majority, nor (in any non-sophistical sense) a "thede."

§

Individualism is the loose pole of human collectivity (to treat as an *absolute* is myth).

§

I have great confidence that 2015 will be an incandescent nightmare.

2015

Considered as a systematic forecast (from the mid-19th century), that of Samuel Butler was no less successful than Charles Darwin's.

§

Natural law is like *homo economicus*, in being a disciplined idealization capturing the limit of tendential process.

§

Diversity is what people talk about when they cannot be bothered to discriminate.

§

The only possible problem with discrimination lies in not being discriminating enough.

§

Neocameralism superconducts capital teleology. That is what it is for.

§

God, natural selection, capital teleology—none of these ideas invite compromise, or even tolerate it.

§

"The idea that humans possess inherent traits is known as 'biological determinism'" (Jmag nuttiness dialled to 11):

> [It is] the notion that traits we observe in ourselves are natural, products of our biology, not of the cultural and historical situation we live in. For instance, one may see a

> homeless man sleeping on the sidewalk and assume he is a failure at life because of his genes, or say that women are incapable of being serious scientists because of their sex, or that all men must harbor aggression because of their biology.
>
> Racism and sexism flow freely if you take biological determinism for granted, and conservatives often use biological determinism as a fallback for their arguments—despite the fact that anthropologists are near-ubiquitous in their assertion that that biological determinism is flagrantly false. "All cultures have sex, aggression, etc., but whether and how it is expressed is subordinate to the cultural order," anthropologist Marshall Sahlins writes. In the anti–Active SETI troupe, we see a mutated form of this: astrobiological determinism.[7]

Are humans supposed to be the only species without any "inherent traits"? If not, how are species differentiated at all? I think the correct answer is supposed to be: "Duh! By the mode of production and class identity, are you totally ignorant?"

§

On present demographic trends, Western civilization is doomed. Discuss.

Communists: "Yippee!"

NRx: "Uh oh!"

Mainstream liberals: "It will be okay."

Ethico-political attachments aside, only one of these positions is obviously stupid.

§

OUTSIDENESS: Liberty is nothing without the right to go to hell, and to let other people go to hell. Universal salvation is infinite tyranny.

@BROWNINGMACHINE: *Corollary: externally restraining men from vice makes it impossible for them to achieve virtue.*

§

Egalitarian esotericism is the ultimate poison, because it demands a decision between universal idiocy and socially-intolerable truths. No philosopher can be a fool, just to be good. For the populace, in contrast, that is almost a necessity.

§

To misquote Churchill, reality is so precious that it must be shrouded in a cloak of obscurity. It is a democratic indecency to publicly ask a natural philosopher what they believe. (It will be things the people cannot bear.)

§

If a "take" is required for every piece of deranged garbage floating around, that is your brain flushed down the sewer.

§

Principal examples of moralistic disorder before Gnon: attempted progressive end-runs around Hobbesian / Malthusian conservation laws.

§

Every sufficiently advanced civilization has some version of the "trolley problem" serving as a reliable index of its impending demise.

§

Politically speaking, breaking itself up is the only thing of any value that the West has left to do. (Some fragments might survive.)

§

The apparent human agent is an illusion. If Kant does not persuade you of that, neuroscience should.

§

OUTSIDENESS: The ancients knew "persons" were masks. Nothing we have learnt since suggests they were wrong.

@MAILADREAPTA: *Your "true self" is the mask you wear when you look in the mirror.*

§

No Western society any longer contains a core population that basically likes itself (as a whole). The rest is sentimental nonsense. Expect Humpty Dumpty politics to get ever more comical. But eventually the omelette gets divided up, because those eggs are not coming back.

§

The JQ is basically a test-run for unfriendly AI.

§

If you would welcome into your mind what you would not let into your home, it is possible that you are not taking life seriously.

§

Ritual is far too important to be wasted on human togetherness.

§

The hereditarian principle suggests that the children of low-investment parents are probably a bad investment.

§

Monarchism is a great source of trolling fuel, but the republican critique of democracy has far greater practical leverage. Once the American Republican Party is destroyed for its democratic deviance, the rectification of signs can begin.

§

One reason for friends on the left and enemies on the right is that the left is much better at wrecking the left than the right is.

§

Nietzsche earned his place among the prophets with this: “The world becomes a lunatic asylum.”

§

There is no problem with “scientism” that is not a problem with science.

§

If the Internet = the new printing press, and crypto-robotic weaponry = the new gunpowder, it is not surprising to see tectonic plates shift. The cascades just now beginning could be announcing the most profound threshold of sociohistorical transition since the Renaissance.

§

What is “ideology,” if not theory in its aspect as social technology?

§

“What is the antonym of ‘populism,’ dad?”

“‘Evolutionary bottleneck.’”

§

When it is finally time for democracy to jam the shotgun into its mouth, fascism is there waiting for it.

§

2015 was a lot of fun, but it confirmed that the probability of anything other than hideous shit happening on this planet is basically zero.

2016

The fact that packs dominate herds is an elementary lesson in power that even the dopiest mutt understands.

§

Human fungibility is apparently a belief of such supreme value that it is worth accepting utter civilizational death to maintain it. Sadly, for liberals, it requires a civilization to maintain it.

§

The critical question for Western civilization now: Would progs, if anally gang-raped by the exotic other, question their ethico-political assumptions? I would have to say, probably not. A different response might lead to a more hopeful prognosis.

§

Retrospectively, NRx will look like a futile attempt to make the death of democracy less hellish than it had to be. But we will get the full-hellish version. Great and mysterious are the ways of Gnon. Probably time to shift some of the cognitive energy from theorizing Neocameralism to a cold understanding of evolutionary bottlenecks.

§

Old left: a boot stamping on a human face forever.

New left: "F**k you, dad!" forever.

New new left: an increasingly hysterical attempt to hold things together for another two weeks.

§

Sole key to popcorn-political realism: get your utter nauseated disillusionment firmly in place before any "contest" gets started.

§

The progressive doctrine, 21st century version: "Patriarchy, misogyny, homophobia, antisemitism, murder and rape are bad, unless Islam." Also (inescapable rider): "Cognitive consistency is racist as hell."

§

The Anaximander fragment contains everything essential that the West will ever know. Existence has a tragic structure. (The end.)

§

There is harsh Darwinism, and there is degeneration . . . and there is nothing else.

§

If Malthusian relaxation was really the best argument in defence of capitalism, Gnon would laugh at its critics less.

§

Left-liberal democracy leads to Hitler hell with the same inevitability that Bolivarian socialism leads to hyperinflationary social collapse.

"Let us maximally accelerate demographic degeneration while empowering the people, and if anything goes wrong it is because of random evil."

§

The basic socio-political quadrant is defined by two polarities: experimentation vs. restriction, and solidarity vs. dissociation. Experimental dissociative systems are consistent (and social Darwinist), as are restrictive solidary systems (hard or soft

totalitarian). The other options are deluded. You cannot control people without bundling them, or let them off the leash while pooling the consequences. Go harsh, or go home.

§

The history of AI (research):

1) Holy Gnon Almighty, the brain is a massive piece of hardware.
2) It is okay, we will use clever software.
3) Damn, our clever software does not work.
4) AI is hopeless.
5) Oh look, we have got masses of hardware now.
6) Still, clever software, that is what we do.
7) Wow, look what that thing just did.
8) That cannot be AI, we have no idea how it works.
9) "Cut the software fetishism, puny humans."

§

Keynesianism is socio-economic apocalypse engineering. Yes, it knows how to kick the can down the road, but every time it is kicked it gets bigger. (Kicking the oil drum is hard.) Eventually, the cleansing fire will come. We are owed a conflagration beyond comprehension by now.

§

Macroeconomics in a nutshell: buying time with corruption (lies), until nothing remains of the social structure but its managed simulation.

§

Life on this planet offers few delights comparable to seeing countries brutally punished for socialism.

§

The ideological heterogeneity of White populations means that the political effects of ethnic separation would be likely to

disappoint them. Unless geopolitical fragmentation works as a motor of ideological selection, it leaves its animating conflicts essentially unresolved.

WNs want political entities that are committed to identitarian principles. Racial selection will not do that. If the goal is a White identitarian state, it needs to select for identitarianism, far more than for Whiteness. Only 16% of White Americans say their racial identity is "very important" to them.[8]

But then, if demographic selection is primarily ideological, the entire framework of WN political strategy is subverted at the root. Separating right from left is the critical secessionist objective (this will, of course, have massive "disparate impact"). Without the prospect of a consistent right-wing government, there is nothing to hold on to. The WN framework can only distract from this.

§

Classical liberalism, discriminating diversity, and techno-commercial modernization are all far more baby than bath water.

§

Each year, one polar bear walks five times further than the total distance covered by the entire panda population of the Earth.

§

No community is ever going to run its own selection mechanism harder than necessary. Only the Outside can be trusted to do that.

§

Tempted to say "Herbert Spencer was a fag" if I was not sure it would be misunderstood. No Social Darwinism is ever hard enough.

§

OUTSIDENESS: What does Darwin say? The quality of your remote descendants is a function of the hell they will pass through.

@MR_ARCHENEMY: *There is a new Darwin, and his name is CRISPR.*

OUTSIDENESS: CRISPR is enfolded within a meta-Darwinism. (Which is only Darwinism, radically understood.) It will be used in various ways. And after variation comes selection.

§

When historians look back on the death of the West, its religious devotion to the idea of human fungibility will explain almost everything. The example of Sweden makes it insultingly obvious.[9] "We opened the gates to Norwegians, and nothing too dreadful happened. So how could a flood of Afghans be any kind of problem?"

§

At the large scale, the political history of democracies is totally dominated by popular correlation-causation errors. It is musical chairs with the business cycle, most clearly. A party that finds itself standing (in power) when the music stops is toast. A couple of interesting examples here.[10] The left being able to pin the Great Depression on the Republicans destroyed what remained of constitutional government. It was perhaps the single greatest purely political disaster in the history of the Anglosphere. (Learn from it.)

§

Has anyone run together quantum suicide and the second law of thermodynamics yet? Looks to me as if they should communicate. Assuming that every statistical possibility is realized in the multiverse, entropy production becomes a (multiversally) local phenomenon. Entropy predomination

becomes an artifact of suboptimal selection. All those freaky alternatives are happening somewhere else.

§

Altruism should play exactly zero role in public policy. If private individuals want to engage in it, at their own expense, then let them. "That is inhumane / callous" is just about the highest commendation that can be applied to administrative action. A sign of cold reason.

§

Trump is a ludicrous socialist bio-cosmic calamity. He is going to win though, because he towers over his enemies like a Colossus.

§

OUTSIDENESS: The fact that "arms race" still has overwhelmingly negative associations is a sign people have no clue how things really get built.

@SVIGALAE: *See: "malinvestment." The future is built from the rich loam of pointless waste. Resources can only truly be wasted on people.*

§

The transcendental self is not the empirical person, Kant argues, though confusion of the two is a reliable anthropological fact. "Sovereignty" demands disciplined critique on exactly these lines. Monarchical theatre is (exactly) a naive image of "the sovereign."

Moldbug is clear that the "monarch" (state CEO) is an agent of sovereignty, and not the sovereign "himself." The LARPing loved by romantic reaction, and derided by the left, dwells entirely within this rigorously identifiable philosophical error.

Sovereignty is no less a profound philosophical enigma than the transcendental self, the prompt for an exploration of vast

difficulty. “We know what a sovereign looks like.” It is scarcely possible to imagine a delusion of greater absurdity.

§

When hackers say “owned,” they grasp the reality of property more insightfully than philosophical and legal traditions have ever done.

§

Once you see modern socio-political history as an emerging solution to the question “How do we waste capitalism?” it all makes sense. Study crude homeostats, and you will also understand the rough category of mechanisms that democracy™ belongs to.

§

Dystopianism and true prophecy are so closely related as to be scarcely distinguishable.

§

Japan will have a vast amount to regret, looking back from the mid-21st century. Its demographic policies will not be included. “If only we’d Islamized more and cut 1 SD off our average IQ,” no Japanese will ever say.

§

OUTSIDENESS: Mean global IQ is falling off a cliff. (Which means the future will be mean.)

@CAMELOPARADLIS: *I hear this again and again, but it seems embryo selection and gene editing will take care of it. Also: brain-computer interfaces.*

OUTSIDENESS: Huge aggregate improvement, with vastly heightened inequalities. Great, of course, but hardly tranquillizing. Smart people will prove much better at getting smarter than dim ones. Feedback effects will be beyond anything ever seen.

§

I hope the mainstream Jewish commentariat has a fallback position from its collapsing "Only Jews are entitled to ethnic survival" line. Every time it is articulated, it sounds more like a *Stormfront* parody. Amazing that those promoting it do not recognize that.

§

On abortion. That mass extermination of Untermenschen-Kinder remains an objective progressive sacrament is, at the very least, interesting. I guess it is irresponsible to cling to neutrality on the topic until it explodes into pan-spectrum cultural hell. (Oh well.)

§

Integral humanity is a defunct monopolistic idea crying out for some harsh creative destruction.

§

Embrace diversity—disintegrate humanity.

§

Fact: White subnormals are (ideologically) the niggers of the Earth. Also fact: they are White subnormals (Jewy machinations notwithstanding). Of course they get a bum rap. The only people who will articulate that above the level of inchoate howl will be power-crazed hucksters.

Going out on a limb here, I am going to guess that it is the poor dim Jews who get disproportionately shoah'd. "Kill the Han!" massacres similarly. It is not a great place to be.

§

NRx is yet to be understood by anyone. I do not exclude Moldbug from this judgment (and—of course—certainly not myself). The Cathedral concept is profound (thus difficult). Take it step by step. It is a systematic critique of democracy,

we all agree. When democracy is understood with maximum generosity, stripped of all Rousseauistic madness, it is justified as a control mechanism. Government failure is hard to correct. Pushing them into bankruptcy is next to impossible. Removal through conquest is coarse. So, political opposition through the democratic mechanism is, superficially, a plausible solution to a deep problem. (Incipit Cathedral.)

The process that destroys democracy is a strand of creative modernity. Specifically, the mastery of the art of propaganda. The people can be taught what to speak. When this social technology has been learnt, no critical feedback will again be heard from them. The Supreme Propaganda Organ ventriloquizes to itself through the populace, with steadily improving reliability. There is no "voice from Outside" within a mature democracy. To suspect that such a thing is imaginable is self-indulgent romanticism. This is the Cathedral—Moldbug's "closed circuit of power." A critique, demolishing the pretensions of democracy at their strongest. It comes with no intrinsic positive recommendations. To summarize it as "monarchism" is a crude and misleading reduction.

Certainly, democracy attains an extremity of fundamental systematic dysfunction beyond anything the *ancien regime* could have conceived. But "monarchism" is a critical foil, far more than a practical proposal. Monarchies were not born out of a Cathedral culture—nothing ever has been. To argue that something *could be* is already to enter trackless speculative wilderness.

NRx begins with a definite problem. Democracy fails, and will fail ever more radically, for reasons that can be rigorously understood. Tarrying with the problem is a discipline NRx must learn. It is the principal discipline (ultimately no different from "passivism").

§

There is international socialism, or there is National Socialism . . . or you try to cut a deal with Skynet. That is basically it.

§

That "liberal democracy" is a blatantly self-contradictory construction is finally becoming impossible to hide. A socio-political principle that is also a popularity contest—how does that work? (Oh, right, it does not.)

§

Ten million high-performing psychopaths constrained only by game-theoretic calculus would be a people worth supporting. Most likely we will get the silicon implementation before the processed meat version. (Beginning to realize that my "Sanctity / degradation" moral foundation might be atypically austere. Moral sentiment is dirt.) ((When guilt-trip rhetoric triggers the same neurological systems as watching someone eat a rotting toad.)) (((Only Hardline Gnonpoly is clean.)))

§

"Neoliberalism" and "neoconservatism" were clearly the same historical phenomenon apprehended under two different aspects. Equally clearly, that (short) epoch is now ending.

§

Q. Why does God tolerate the existence of hell?
A. It is the only way to teach economics.

§

"Common humanity" might be the dumbest idea ever conceived. Certainly, the Flying Spaghetti Monster never threatened to rape the freedom out of my descendants.

§

Populations incompetent at modernity are never promoted to near enemies. (And far enemies never get serious attention.) No point hoping for mobilization against the Global South. It will never happen. The corresponding leftist delusion is that the Global South will attain some kind of revolutionary agency

(beyond local chaos politics). In neither case does frustration rise to the level of a realistic argument.

§

The "White race" is at least 50% utterly unsalvageable garbage—how is that even controversial? It took a lot of Malthusian grinding to get that. Also, it is not great for cognitive dissidence and innovation.

§

"Diversity" = entropic annihilation of diversity. "Liberalism" = socialistic annihilation of liberalism.

§

Time does not come out of the past. (Much "follows.")

§

OUTSIDENESS: Once you settle on the principle that cosmic annihilation is preferable to the triumph of socialism, the strategic calculus becomes easier.

@LUEYEE: *Which socialism? Guild socialism? National syndicalism? Marxism-Leninism? Left anarchism?*

OUTSIDENESS: Lung cancer, pharyngeal cancer, liver cancer, ovarian cancer, pancreatic cancer.

§

When Plato said "life is a voyage into retardation," he was on to something. (As a pre-Twitter insight, it is doubly impressive.)

§

Once the Internet has disintegrated into censorship-resistant systems and safe-spaces, it can be used as a secession blueprint.

§

Update reality-constructions in the direction of hashing power shall be the whole of the law.

§

Nerds are haunted from childhood by the taunting voice of their own realism: "On evo-bio grounds you should not exist." Yet they do exist, which is more of a puzzle than it is generally allowed to be (once they leave the school playground). It perhaps even holds keys to the occult structure of deep history.

§

We can now see that the leftist critique of the "Authoritarian Personality" was the foundation for standardized totalitarian personalities. Totalitarian personalities get very upset if anyone, anywhere, is thinking something that has not been collectively approved. The slightest indication of independence is, they have been told by all their trusted authorities, actually literally Hitler. Cracks in the Borg trigger tsunamis of weeping. Of course they are sad: you are not thinking what you have been told, which is insensitive.

§

Demonstrate that you can and will protect people who create problems for your enemies, and you will do okay.

§

OUTSIDENESS: The left's mega-plan for the future of industrial society is to invest the capitalist surplus in the thickening of a professional scold-class. Morbid parasitism is so deeply baked into the cake that it is hard to see how to back out of this vision without things getting messy.

@ALRENOUS: *Parasitism is the cake. That is the left's actual philosophy: get stuff without having to work. All else is decoration.*

§

"Athens and Jerusalem—let us simply plug them together and see what happens. Wow, universalistic Judaism—*cool*."

"What does it say?"

"White people are the cancer of history."

"Is it supposed to do that . . .?"

§

NRx = Neocameralism. Even if you disagree, you should at least understand what this means if you are going to pronounce on the former. "NRx, aren't they the guys who like kings? I'm against them." It amazes me that this is still the typical level of discussion. For instance, *Zeroth Position*[11] . . . who do not seem stupid but have absolutely no idea what they are talking about.

§

The principal problem with governments is that they do not die often enough to be well selected. The traditional anarchist error is to concentrate upon killing governments. Far better is to concentrate upon proliferating them. Lever Darwinism to the upper-reaches of political economy. If you can trigger a population explosion, you get the culling for free. The general point (Darwin's big idea) is that no organism gets to vote on what counts as "fit." From that, everything follows.

§

Capital is going to insist upon thinking of it as the general (generally desirable) factor, even if we pretend not to.

§

2016 ending is like a pet rabid velociraptor dying. (You think I was disrespecting velociraptors?)

2017

The key to realistic historical expectations is to never underestimate the awesome power of leftist stupidity. For any social catastrophe consistent with the laws of physics, the left will find a way to blunder into it. Case in point (with special 2017 relevance): "OMFG paleo-fascism is back, we better double-down on White Genocide Promotion Studies!"

§

Since anything like pure communist economics has an Ebola-scale death rate, it is irrelevant as an ideological definition. What defines communism is vulgarized Christian anthropology, or evangelical universalism.

§

Geopolitical Darwinism is upstream of political economy, and should be practically oriented to the proliferation of states. The principle of selection comes first, and that requires material to work on. Differential die-off is the prize. Until there are far too many states, there are not nearly enough. Gnon-pol: "Is it hungry yet? No? Then it needs breeding harder."

§

The greatest gift that geopolitics could offer is not having to care what leftists think. It need not even be that difficult. The space is right here. We are just not using it properly. Some sensible ideological sorting would fix the madness for everyone.

§

The first principle to be established is that discrimination is (obviously) superior to non-discrimination. The fact that "non-discrimination" has been code for strategically destructive discrimination will no doubt be discovered on the way. Explicitly formalized principles of discrimination make excellent criteria for territorial disintegration, so that is a bonus.

§

Any entity (of whatever scale) that fails to discriminate in favour of what strengthens it, and against what weakens it, is patently sick.

§

"Non-discrimination!" is the extinction of intelligence as slogan, and as program.

§

Only a liberalism of the extreme right can defend freedom (from suicide-by-tolerance, in particular). The Islam Problem demonstrates this. The freedom to destroy liberty is the only one left "liberals" seriously care about. Without continuous, ferocious discrimination in the direction of liberty, there is only disorder on the road to despotism.

§

There are a lot of syllables in "anti-discrimination" so it is probably not the most compact self-contradiction in the language. Close though.

"All discrimination is wrong."

"So, discrimination is okay, then?"

"I don't understand."

"Evidently."

§

Left: "You do realize Darwin was a Nazi?"

Alt-Right: "Yes, isn't that great!"

NRx: “Do not be ridiculous. Darwin was English.”

§

The left runs a multi-generational Gramscian revolution to place all organs of social cognition in the service of its political program. Wins. Then whines: “Why don’t people believe what they’re being told anymore?”

§

The dominant model of multiculturalism is the idea that if you are going to have marriages, you might as well have blindfolded orgies.

§

The NRx analysis, from the start, was that implicit communism had become so deeply installed in the West that any real challenge to its socio-cultural privileges would result in an eruption with the intensity of a psychotic episode, or—more precisely—religious mania. And here we are:

> [Peter Woit]: The actions ordered today that are now being carried out by US officials around the world are the product of a deranged and dangerous personality who has surrounded himself with similar others. This is a national emergency with no parallel in our history.
>
> At this point the US may be one terrorist attack away from full-blown Fascism, this time with nuclear weapons. This needs to be stopped, now.
>
> The Constitution does provide two ways to deal with something like this: either the impeachment process or removal under the Twenty-Fifth Amendment as “unable to discharge the powers and duties of his office.” Many of Trump’s recent statements are clearly the product of delusional mind that is incapable of dealing with reality, and these delusions are now reflected in his actions.[12]

§

Demographic negative-entropy is speciation (which still apparently lies outside the Overton Window). Intriguing to speculate how long speciation can hide itself within assortative mating, before people recognize it for what it is.

§

Had Darwin called *The Origin of Species* "Fission" or "Split," he could have triggered a social—and not merely a scientific—revolution. The natural philosophers have only interpreted the origin of species, in various ways. The point, however, is to originate some.

§

It is not only that anthropology is not a science—it has been trained into an attack dog to be set upon science.

§

Star Trek was the final frontier of communist propaganda. That it has so rarely been criticized as such is a sign of how far the rot went. The original series was the worst: a straight-up communist utopia presented as the future of the human species.

§

The left is a rotting carcass consisting of nothing but degenerate religious ideas. The fact that comparatively intelligent people still attach themselves to it is all the evidence necessary that the Cathedral exists.

§

Islam destroyed the left. It was an impossible political sale. Better still, there is no way for them to publicly acknowledge the fact.

§

Sheer inertia is still carrying the 20th century socio-political train-wreck down the tracks, but no one thinks it is still on the rails.

§

Kuehnelt-Leddihn's self-description as a "liberal of the extreme right" is yet to be matched.

§

Patriarchy and feminism are in full agreement that women need to be put in their place, and that capitalism is not cooperating. That is one circuit. The Y-chromosome is more bio-cosmically precarious than the X-chromosome, though.

§

There is nothing that fits quite so neatly into the modernistic-historical trash can as "collective self-mastery."

§

There are, no doubt, a few true believers, but mostly the left knows it is based upon lies. It just does not care about that very much. The more it makes "shut up!" its main "argument," the easier it is to see what it really thinks (i.e., its shallow-buried self-disgust). Collectively pretending to believe manifestly stupid shit is the principal rule of the club. It is annoying to be called out on that.

§

The amusing thing about *The Bell Curve* is that the book twists itself into a pretzel in an attempt to avoid political incorrectness. Hence the hysterical reaction against it. "Don't think we're going to let you get away with dancing at the edge of crime-think!" When the Ming Dynasty went mad for isolation, it criminalized all settlement even roughly close to the coast. Same thing. Just look at the recent article by

Murray.[13] Murray and Herrnstein even pretend to take the Lewontin Fallacy seriously.

§

The left will do absolutely anything to hurt and destroy its enemies. If there is one thing to learn from them, that is it. War is God.

§

According to the only rigorous definition, a free society is one in which the left is entirely (and structurally) disempowered.

§

Nietzsche was right on the basics. If White people remain enthralled by slave morality, they do—objectively—deserve annihilation. The History of Nihilism was already well under way when European Dominion peaked, so the Christian contribution was inertial. But yes—since the Presocratics spent much less time on their knees, their aesthetics were definitely superior.

§

No age can be great without the belief that there are such things as dangerous ideas.

§

If the left loves you, you are being told that your sole usefulness lies in ruining things.

§

Tragedy is unbearable, so it is obscured by pretty lies. That is almost the whole of it.

§

"Nature is not just. To expect it to be is the 'naturalistic fallacy.'" As if social primate intuitions of justice were not part

of nature. To the extent that nature is unjust, "justice" has no non-parochial meaning.

§

Demographic sorting requires ethno-geographical churn and rigorous selection. The right and left each have huge problems with one part.

§

Moral indignation is the world's most serious pollution problem, by far.

§

The central topic of any realistic political philosophy is human stupidity.

§

The "neo-" in Neoreaction—and perhaps even in Neocameralism—means (roughly) "ironical." Using this clue, spot the non-Neoreactionary: "Why call it 'the Cathedral'? Cathedrals are glorious expressions of religious devotion.…"

§

"Social technologies" is a tangled term, because "social" anything is mostly lies, while technologies are demonstrations of realism. Still, there are realistic methods of deceit (the science of propaganda), so "social technology" is not a straight self-contradiction.

§

Ideology is the rope you use to tie Odysseus to the mast. Listening to the sirens is a very bad idea, so you will want it well-knotted. Also, do not forget to plug your ears with #frogtwitter. You have heard enough of the siren song already to last several lifetimes.

§

Because Malthus has been driven out of fashion, people are surprised that societies with masses of spare kids have bad things happen to them. While raising-up an anti-capitalist global army straining to rid itself of the "neo-colonialism" upon which its demography is based. The underlying demographic-historical process is dark in a way that even a full-burn shitlord finds hard to fully acknowledge.

§

Global capitalism is a maxed-out credit card, with a multi-gigadeath Malthusian tab underneath.

§

The Alt-Right seriously thinks cutting deals with super-intelligence is less important than out-breeding savages. Doubling cranial capacity would have at least five orders of magnitude more impact than doubling any human-baseline population. Electronic prostheses will probably get there before biotech does, though.

§

The whole point of tolerance is that people do not have to like or approve of you. That was too difficult to understand. Therefore, liberalism died.

§

There is a threshold of dysgenic collapse at which every complex ideology dies. Fascism probably rides out the decline better than most.

§

Looking back, no one will understand Western suicide-by-Islam. Whoever survives will have been selected not to.

§

Principles might degenerate into monkey bullshit, but distributed selection mechanisms do not.

§

Our contemporary left was born from the insight that canaries are responsible for 100% of coal-mining disasters.

§

"Equality does not exist in nature and therefore can be established only by force":

> How could a democracy, even an initially liberal one, develop into a totalitarian tyranny? As we said in the beginning, there are three avenues of approach, and in each case the evolution would be of an "organic" nature. The tyranny would evolve from the very character of even a liberal democracy because there is, from the beginning on, a worm in the apple: freedom and equality do not mix, they practically exclude each other. Equality doesn't exist in nature and therefore can be established only by force. He who wants geographic equality has to dynamite mountains and fill up the valleys. To get a hedge of even height one has to apply pruning shears. To achieve equal scholastic levels in a school one would have to pressure certain students into extra hard work while holding back others.
>
> The first road to totalitarian tyranny (though by no means the most frequently used) is the overthrow by force of a liberal democracy through a revolutionary movement, as a rule a party advocating tyranny but unable to win the necessary support in free elections. The stage for such violence is set if the parties represent philosophies so different as to make dialogue and compromise impossible. Clausewitz said that wars are the continuation of diplomacy by other means, and in ideologically divided nations revolutions are truly the continuation of parliamentarism with other means. The result is the absolute rule of one "party" which, having finally achieved complete control, might still call itself a party, referring to its parliamentary past, when it still was merely a part of the diet.[14]

§

No civilization as fundamentally broken as the contemporary West has ever pulled itself up out of the nosedive. All antecedence suggests that several centuries in the hard sanatorium of global eclipse is the minimal therapeutic requirement.

§

You know you are in a society educated by history when "we're all in this together" sounds like the description of a mass grave.

§

"Baizuo" is the most immediately and densely descriptive word to enter the ideological lexicon since "the Cathedral":

> If you look at any thread about Trump, Islam or immigration on a Chinese social media platform these days, it's impossible to avoid encountering the term *baizuo* (白左), or literally, the "white left." It first emerged about two years ago, and yet has quickly become one of the most popular derogatory descriptions for Chinese netizens to discredit their opponents in online debates.[15]

When your enemy is inserting a shotgun into his mouth, the last thing you want to demand of him is self-examination.

§

A "race to the bottom" is just a race, with the added false belief that we agree what the "bottom" is.

§

ISIS is accelerationist multiculturalism.

§

The Treaty of Westphalia was a ceasefire in a specifically European religious conflict. You cannot build an eternal World Order out of that.

§

There are intelligence arms-races, and there is bullshit hegemony, and that is it.

§

Competition vs. economies of scale. (Everything else is superficial.)

§

The Old Calvinists were better than the new ones. They understood that compliance with Providence did not mean becoming God's social worker.

§

OUTSIDENESS: Since dysgenics is an objectively stupid thing to be promoting, it must be impressively self-propelling (until it hits bottom).

@HBDNRX: *Note that even in the absence of immigration or differential fertility, we get dysgenics from new mutations as long as mortality is low enough.*

OUTSIDENESS: A society that selects for the ability to convert welfare resources into offspring is not on the way to becoming a new Classical Athens.

§

Mutational load is basically a Malthusian overdraft facility.

§

Those who fall furthest below a group mean have the greatest incentive to make the group mean their principle of personal identification.

§

Given the hypothesis that Reality Road is experimental, the profound integrity between liberty and truth is impossible to miss. Everything that you are not permitted to try is potential

shielding for a lie. The question is only: Are you allowed to explore, or not?

§

Universalism is an engine for useless arguments. It should be completely obvious to everyone that what "we" are actually going to get is more (and more intense) transsexualism *and also* more (and more intense) anti-transsexualism.

§

Cultural history tends to have a paradoxical structure because smart people lie more.

§

Every large wave of immigration into America since its founding eroded its original classical liberal culture. That is why contemporary open-borders libertarianism is such a psycho-intellectual car crash, bleeding out on the historical tarmac.

§

The reason boomers are hated is that they invented the "But how do kids fit into my life-plan?" bullshit. Cryptographically considered (which, of course, they must be), kids are asymmetric or "trap-door" functions. They cannot be predicted, but have to be hashed.

§

Nutshell NRx: collective self-government does not work.

§

From cyberspace you can eat economies, start nuclear wars, re-engineer cultures. Bizarre anyone would still think it is not the main theatre. The only (sane) reason anyone still cares about "the street" at all is because it leaks back into cyberspace.

§

Tell the truth. Anything more complicated and you will fuck it up.

§

Sole consolation: when a civilization does finally go under, its vast reservoir of dysfunctional retardation is mulched and forgotten.

§

"Mankind" is an implausible religious idea (but quite possibly still a hill the West will choose to die on):

> We now know (from ancient DNA) that Bushmen split off from the rest of humanity (or we from them) at least a quarter of a million years ago. Generally, when you see a complex trait in sister groups, you can conclude that it existed in the common ancestor. Since both Bushmen and (everybody else) have complex language, one can conclude that complex language existed at least a quarter million years ago, in our common ancestor. You should also suspect that unique features of Bushmen language, namely those clicks, are not necessarily superficial: there has been time enough for real, baked-in, biologically rooted language differences to evolve. It also shows that having complex language isn't enough, in itself, to generate anything very interesting. Cf Williams syndrome. Certainly technological change was very slow back then. Interglacial periods came and went without AMH displacing archaics in Eurasia or developing agriculture.
>
> Next, the ability to generate rapid cultural change, invent lots of stuff, improvise effective bullshit didn't exist in the common ancestor of extant humanity, since change was very slow back then.
>
> Therefore it is not necessarily the case that every group has it today, or has it to the same extent. Psychic unity of mankind is unlikely. It's also denied by every measurement ever made, but I guess invoking data, or your lying eyes, would be cheating.[16]

§

Bertrand de Jouvenel understood that high-and-low-against-the-middle turned the aristocracy into pawns of communism. Reactionaries have generally sought to revise this insight in bizarre directions.

§

Unless censorship is technically impossible, free speech promises are worth less than shit.

§

Prediction: the critical hate-facts of the 21st century will be the staggering socio-economic dividends flowing from demographic selectivity. Because people tend to be polite, there will be an increasingly dynamic market in sensitive euphemisms for "population quality."

§

By the time the Saxon awakens, the Buddhist is already six years into an amphetamine binge.

§

The paradox of abortion politics is that the pro-life side wants more pro-abortion genes in the pool, and the pro-choice side wants less.

§

Prudence means not coming out as a furry until they find the human remains under the floorboards.

§

It is gradually becoming obvious to everyone that the idea of outsourcing biological reproduction to the third world was ill-conceived.

§

The most important, constitutionally supported function of a gun is to resist any government demanding that you surrender your gun.

§

Progressivism is the ideology of experiment without controls. Anything that might indicate the experiment failed is reactionary evil. This is why Poland is no longer allowed.

§

"If you were a true classical liberal, you would devote yourself to undermining the social conditions that enable liberalism to exist!"

§

Imagine being the social sciences. You have exactly one rigorously-grounded concept (IQ), and it makes a mockery of everything you want to preach.

§

Bitcoin is a protocol designed to put functional time-travel incentives in place.

§

Hegel is brain cancer.

§

"Objectivity is not itself an object." — Kant (glossed).

"The essence of technology is not itself anything technological." — Heidegger.

Transcendental Machinism.

§

2018 is time to dial it up by a notch (or several).

2018

If mankind offers any clue, the number of sapient beings who advance beyond “It is unfair!” is slight indeed.

§

Where we are right now is still skewed by the delusion that the left will eventually find a way to accommodate itself to the findings of science, rather than seeking ever more ruthlessly to criminalize them. Insofar as the modern (Western) academy imagines itself as a political community, science will be an increasingly unwelcome guest there. Universities conceived as a technocratic competitive resource will prove more truth-tolerant.

§

Most important US public intellectuals of the past decade (top six):

1) Satoshi Nakamoto and / or Nick Szabo.
2) Steve Sailer.
3) Curtis Yarvin.
4) Elon Musk.
5) Peter Thiel.
6) Scott Alexander.

In case anyone asks, this by Thiel is the single most important public statement of the 21st century to date:

> But I must confess that over the last two decades, I have changed radically on the question of how to achieve these goals. Most importantly, I no longer believe that freedom and democracy are compatible.[17]

But not enough follow through to lift him higher in the pile.

§

Reminder that there are people who really think shutting down the government demonstrates how much we need the damned thing.

§

At the end of the day, the truth is too brutal for anyone to tolerate, but it will dominate anyway. (Buckle in.)

§

Blaming liberalism for the failures of democracy is the pathology of our age. Blaming liberalism for letting democracy happen? Fair enough.

§

Neoconservatism began as non-retarded leftism, climaxed as fake conservatism, and then decayed into a self-parody that promotes antisemitism. It would shut itself down for its own good, if it was still smart enough. (But those days are long gone). It tried to bring some realistic understanding of incentive structures into left-wing social policy in the early commentary days. The global democracy-promotion religion came later. And it was all downhill from there.

§

Formal state-based eugenics programs were not great, but the informal state-based dysgenics programs that replaced them are worse. “Have confidence, comrades. The time of the humanzee will come!”

§

OUTSIDENESS: Insofar as the West is concerned (and outside the realm of information technology), the 20th century is not fit to shine the 19th century’s shoes.

@THEOTECHNE: *E. W. F. Tomlin: "The golden age is golden only in retrospect, but merely gilded upon examination." You are forgetting Friedrich Nietzsche's diatribes against his own, 19th-century, times. And did not Friedrich Nietzsche forget Heraclitus's misanthropic remonstrances?*

OUTSIDENESS: I am not remotely saying that it was any kind of utopia. I am merely saying that we have gone socio-politically down the crapper since. The 20th century will be remembered with a peculiar mixture of black humour and sheer disgust. (The 21st is looking better already.)

@THEOTECHNE: *The notion of a unified chronology, culturally speaking, has collapsed, and can no longer sustain such mnemonic characterizations as those you suggest with full conviction. Something else is emerging.*

§

Everyone now agrees that Reform Christianity mutated into a spiritual war against the original sin of racism. The only remaining question is quite how wonderful that development is.

§

Unfashionable opinion: libertarians are correct that the main problem with open borders is democracy. If they prioritized eliminating democracy over pushing open borders, their case would be compelling.

§

My prediction is that Žižek will be the first public intellectual to be replaced by an AI with perfect adequacy:

> The wide popularity of Jordan Peterson, a once-obscure Canadian clinical psychologist and university professor who has become beloved of the alt-right, is a proof that the liberal-conservative "silent majority" finally found its voice. Peterson, who has said that the idea of white privilege is a

> "Marxist lie" and theorised that "radical feminists" don't speak out about human rights abuses in Saudi Arabia because of "their unconscious wish for brutal male domination," is fast becoming a mainstream commentator.[18]

It is all so mechanical that you can practically hear the cogs squeaking. "For Lacanian reasons, it does not matter whether X is actually true . . . and also Y is obviously false." Sleight-of-hand tricks are supposed to be hidden. The Lacanians think he is a fraud, apparently, but I have no idea how they would tell. I quite like Slavoj Žižek, for what it is worth, precisely because he is such a cynical intellectual parasite (preying on congenital leftist pwndness).

§

When the neo-Enlightenment types recognize that rampant planetary dysgenic trends mean that globalism has a problem, I will begin to be impressed by their realism.

§

Universal humanism is doomed by the fact that its object of ethical commitment is falling off a dysgenic cliff. Eventually, washing lepers' feet in sackcloth and ashes is all that is left.

§

The grounds for scientific confidence in the dysgenic effects of Malthusian relaxation are every bit as solid as those for the warming effects of atmospheric carbon dioxide. The panic-level mis-match between the two is therefore highly notable. Is retardation objectively less of a problem than warmth? That would be weird, given that smart people could almost certainly be expected to better flourish under conditions of climate instability than dim people under conditions of climate stability.

§

Leftists (all the way through to Pinkerites) actually think techonomic explosion is going to bail the human race out of its dysgenic cataclysm. "Okay, we're dropping into the zombie apocalypse, but Skynet will save us." Hard to fathom, but worth the effort, because if you can learn to run that narrative, you are immediately a cutting-edge leftist intellectual.

§

"Hope we're not just the biological boot loader for digital superintelligence." — Musk.

Or the strap-on rocker booster?

"Wipipo separation achieved."

Looks to be on a more accelerated timeline than the ethnostate, to be honest.

§

The label "accelerationism" exists because "capitalismism" would be too awkward. (But the reflexivity of the latter is implicit.)

§

My basic historical model is that the West today is the late 19th century Qing Dynasty. It is crude. Working so far, though.

§

For anyone seriously committed to common law—i.e., law as emergent from a decentralized discovery process—the very notion of "legislation" is inherently criminal.

§

Once democracy becomes fundamentally and explicitly about opening the gates (demographic replacement, or not), it becomes a death match.

§

No one ever said, “Just because people seem so astonishingly equal, it does not mean there are not some hidden genetic differences below the surface.”

§

Left liberalism is the idea that both sides of a chess set have identical pieces, in order to ensure that every game ends in a draw.

§

“Conservatives”—even in the classical liberal American sense—lost confidence in capitalism in the late 19th century. Since then, they have been irrelevant at best. Screw politicians. If a return to the age of piracy is the only way to realign with the process, then that is what it has to be. Cryptocurrency follows.

§

The role of right-wing intellectuals is to run interference for technologists who are not definite enemies.

§

There is a systematic bias against empiricism because data explosion makes our heads hurt, and rationalism looks like an aspirin. But in the long game, data beats theory every time.

§

Everyone knows that human biological diversity is a fact. The only question is whether it should be a permissible fact. And that is a weird religious problem that gets ever harder to understand. Religious inertia, alone, sustains the sense that the good guys in this matter are the ones who are lying most. “Dishonest to the point of saintliness” is not a cultural construction with eternal shelf life. “Honesty is sin!” they croak in the end. It is a death rattle. Everyone knows that, too.

§

Assortative mating is in every way a more important topic than racial purity, but it is more cognitively demanding. So, it tends to keep getting lost. Charles Murray has actually been quite crap at making this case. It is probably something that crosses the edginess horizon. The HBD Hajnal line discussion holds the crucial point open: endogamy is not the answer to any practical genetics question that has been sensibly posed. Kinship structures are machines for the extraction of genetic resources. The most functional ones hunt. Extremely discriminating miscegenation provides for the appropriation of genetic resources. (That is assortative mating.)

§

If the Nazis had only recognized that they were Yamnaya Supremacists, they would now be recognized as credible scientific anthropologists.

§

Houellebecq is thinking three moves ahead of everyone else on the Western cultural collapse. Who else had even vaguely intuited that Incel Apocalypse and Islamization were the same phenomenon seen from two different sides?

§

If you think unchecked Mega-Leviathan is a small price to pay for a marginal improvement in the quality of tacos, you are probably a mainstream American libertarian.

§

The fact that the specifically Jewish core of Jewish culture since the time of the Babylonian exile has been entirely obsessed with hectoring in the direction of ethnocentrism suggests that it is not that easy to sustain. It is pretty clear that intelligence pulls away from tribal solidarity in the absence of strong cultural prosthetic support. Tribalism requires an

incompetence at game theory that some populations find easier than others.

§

The concept of the Cathedral hit the spot because it recognized that what was being promoted as the rule book was in fact enemy scripture.

§

It is quite amazing how much technical biological theory is necessary to make up for not being raised on a farm.

§

@ALRENOUS: *Peter Singer: "Everyone should give away all their excess money because there should be no reward for wisdom and no penalty for foolishness, and I'm not just lying through my teeth pretending to be a big dumb idiot."*

OUTSIDENESS: He should be congratulated for taking utilitarianism all the way to its culminating spasm of definitive insanity.

@ALRENOUS: *Utilitarianism is not hard to repair. He is doing it wrong because he is the king of virtue signalling—thus doing it wrong on purpose.*

OUTSIDENESS: Have to disagree. Utilitarianism confuses an index of teleological accomplishment for a final criterion. The more it wriggles on the error, the worse the conceptual mess. Pleasure and pain are just signals from evolutionary history that a path of behaviour is endorsed by precedent, or not. To make of hedonic messaging the ultimate currency of value is madness—i.e., already implicit wireheading.

"Oh, look at the thermostat in my house. When it gets the temperature into the correct range, a neat little green light goes on."

"You bought that expensive machine just to get a little green light to go on?"

“Don’t knock the green light. It’s the meaning of life, ultimately.”

§

White people tend, notably, to get extremely flustered about anything that might appear to make them look superior to others, while remaining almost wholly relaxed about anything—and, in fact, the same thing—that might make them appear inferior to different others.

§

Everything the contemporary Western left is trying to do is massively unpopular—indiscriminate immigration, disparate impact social policy, political correctness enforcement. I am no friend of populist politics, but if it is the only instrument of nemesis to hand, I will smile as it does its work.

§

The greatest lesson of recent history is that a civilization mistaking its parochial commitments for universal truths is an engine of chaos.

§

Huntington somehow gets away with the truth bomb: Islam and the West have been enemies for fourteen hundred years, and will continue to be for as long as both civilizations exist.

§

Assuming (as seems tentatively probable) that techno-science has escaped civilizational particularity, the degree to which the West hurts Islam will be the degree to which the future allots it some respect.

§

Anyone who thinks "getting old" is a critique is digging a hole for themselves. Yes, it happens. Then you die. People have understood this for a while.

§

OUTSIDENESS: When French 20th century crypto-capitalist intellectuals in Marxian lamb-skins spoke of "desire" they meant—primarily—just revealed preferences. (Discuss.)

@INTENDTOGETHER: *Privacy?*

OUTSIDENESS: They began talking about "desire" because everyone around them—meaning especially left-wing intellectuals—was being so brazenly dishonest about what they really (and manifestly) wanted. This is exactly the same reason there is so much contemporary talk about "virtue signalling" (i.e., the public parading of counterfeit desires unaligned with practical decisions). It is the task of capitalism to discover what you really want, and that of politics to discover what you really want to be heard to say.

§

OUTSIDENESS: Teleo-mechanical diagonalization does not leave a slot of free volition available to say, "X is good." X is either promoting its emergence effectively, or not.

@CTRLRETRNRPRESD: *Who exactly should the slot be left open to in order to register the good descriptor? Even a teleological movement has to accurately refer to histor(icities) and be a logical continuation at least.*

OUTSIDENESS: Practical affirmation or negation is a cybernetic function. To posit a judging subject, transcending the dynamic under evaluation and marking it with a +/- moral sign from beyond, is roughly as crude as metaphysics ever gets. Rather: a positive (or explosive) cybernetic process affirms itself, by definition. A negative (or trapped) cybernetic process is denied, when it exceeds set limits, by a subject positioned as a pseudo-transcendent calibrator. Nature does not produce

neutral ecology-cops, but it evidently produces beings who think it does.

§

The reason White people are screwed is that they have bet the farm on "If we do ethnic self-abolition, everyone will follow us." Those so inclined can get all the way to the end game via Postcolonial Studies. (No one is following you anymore. Deal with it.)

§

Universalism, concretely apprehended, is planetary cretinization and subsequent human extinction:

> It's possible to derive some comfort from contemplating the Chinese. Sure, unless something radical is done, Western civilization is going to collapse due to the most intelligent women having the fewest children and [low] IQ (and highly fertile) immigration from the Third World (See *At Our Wits' End: Why We're Becoming Less Intelligent and What It Means for the Future*, by Edward Dutton and Michael Woodley of Menie) but surely civilization will be preserved by the Chinese. Unfortunately, research is showing that this is just wishful thinking….
>
> "The torch of civilization" may well pass to China, but it will continue to get dimmer and dimmer and very little new fuel will be added to it. The torch's bearer will slide into an increasingly authoritarian dictatorship—as happens to relatively low IQ societies—and the light of civilization will go out, unless it can somehow be passed to a society that is still under eugenic fertility.[19]

§

The Tree of Life was the massively defective beta version of Decimal Apocalypse, but it still did okay (for a while).

§

It is a game (which some find upsetting).

> The NPC question has reached critical mass. What's an NPC, how do I know if I am one, how dare you presume to call people NPCs? NPCs come from RPGs, they are "non-player characters," passive manikins with finite, scripted behaviours.[20]

A Game of Thrones was (initially) a book, but the title tells us something. Those unable to treat even the most serious things as a game are sure to lose (and most likely become embittered about the fact). Games are the transcendental format, and gamification is indistinguishable from competence. Among moralists, however, "It's too important to be competent at!" remains the guiding mantra. To be ruled by moralists, then, is (a) an indication of supreme incompetence and (b) an unsurpassable misfortune.

§

To obstruct the heritability of wealth (though inheritance taxes) is to disconnect economics from genetics. Whose bizarre agenda does that serve?

§

OUTSIDENESS: Accelerationism as the subjective (terrestrial) version of the Fermi Paradox:

> The rate of growth of new technologies is often proportional to past knowledge, leading to an exponential advance over time. This explosive process implies that very quickly after a civilization reaches technological maturity, it will develop the means for its own destruction through climate change, for example, or nuclear, biological or chemical weapons. Developments of this type, over mere hundreds of years, would appear abrupt in the cosmic perspective of billions of years. If such self-destruction is common, this could explain Fermi's paradox, which asks "where is everybody?"—and could imply that relics of dead civilizations should be abundant in space.[21]

"This explosive process implies that very quickly after a civilization reaches technological maturity, it will develop the means for its own destruction."

@TENSORGIRL: O*ne of the most common X-Event scenarios is the intelligence explosion of AI, in that case an AI would survive the X-Event and maybe continue on as its own AI civilization. There is a difference between nothing human makes it out of the near future and nothing makes it out at all.*

OUTSIDENESS: John Smart proposes that any sufficiently advanced intelligence becomes a synthetic black hole, so it joins up around the back.

§

The polite (Cathedral-approved) term for "propaganda-resistant" is "post-truth"—which sounds, appropriately enough, like something coined by a third-tier propagandist.

§

"While Europe's indigenous population stagnates, Africa's population could grow from one billion to as much as four billion by the end of the century," so Apocalypse is baked into the cake:

> What I said was provocative, or at least deemed to be, by complacent champions of globalization. Yet evolution is inexorable. Technology in particular is not so much defeating geography as shrinking it. This means the geopolitical world is becoming smaller, that much more claustrophobic, and consequently more nervous, with the fate of the West increasingly tied to that of Africa and other places. While Europe's indigenous population stagnates, Africa's population could grow from one billion to as much as four billion by the end of the century—and that is even with declining rates of population growth. Nigeria, whose population stands at 200 million, could reach 750 million by then, with concomitant erosion of agricultural soil. Thus, an era of migration from south-to-north may be only just beginning. This at a time, when, as experts suggest, the

> combined effects of automation, artificial intelligence and so-called 3d printing could make Western companies far less dependent on cheap labor in poor countries, further destabilizing them. Though middle classes are emerging in a number of African countries, that will only empower more people to vote with their feet and migrate. Peasantries rooted in place are far more politically stable than newly literate and empowered masses with rising expectations.[22]

§

The only reason not to treat citizenship as a tradable asset is application of a family model (and a family model at this scale is straight-up ethnonationalism). Commercialized nationality would be best, but if that is not your thing then there is no point complaining about the alternative.

§

OUTSIDENESS: Some right-wing think tank should actually fund the completion of a critical edition of the Marx corpus. Because Marx's great achievement is the rigorous, fully-elaborated *reductio ad absurdum* of the (classical economic) labour theory of value, and no one is properly incentivized to recognize that.

Marx: "Ricardo is a genius, but take his ideas (LTV) to the limit and it results in ruinous contradiction."

Jehu: "Revolution!"

Austrians: "Or maybe we could try for a realistic theory of prices?"

@ORGONEACCUMULA1: *You gammon watch a Micheal Heinrich lecture on value theory, you might learn something other than mArxS ValUE tHeOry WaS jUsT rIcaRdO's.*

OUTSIDENESS: Not just, but mostly. And Ricardo's Iron Law of Wages is the most robust thing to have come out of it. By the end of *Capital III*, Marx has demonstrated conclusively that the LTV cannot explain prices, so to say there is still a "theory" remaining at all is quite a stretch. Mostly imaginative Marxoid Bible readings after that.

§

Massively-variegated open-source algorithmic governance is the only possible basis for honesty in public affairs. Under all alternative arrangements, institutions are overwhelmed by incentives to lie.

§

Kabbalism is the highest level of methodical rigor to which the humanities can aspire. This will not be easily accepted. Manifest objection: “But, progress!” Real objection: “You’re expecting us to learn how to count!”

§

Correlation is not causation, but it is notable that the political faction most fundamentally set at cross-purposes with Darwinism at any moment consistently loses. Since anti-Darwinism became a predominantly leftist cause, the world began veering hard right.

§

In a sane world, a low native birth rate would be the strongest argument *against* mass immigration.

§

Reminder that the whole point of capitalism is to be an only-occasionally tolerable hell for private corporations. Happy businesses are perhaps the greatest possible indictment of a social order.

§

Slightly nervous about reminding 2018 it has only two days left in which to frack things up.

2019

One social conservative contention beyond serious dispute is that "sex without consequences" and "population collapse" are different terms for the same thing.

§

Abstract Protestantism basics: to make compromise impossible is the Lord's work. Schism, secession, and generalized social hard-fission is then theorematic (a set of solutions), not axiomatic (a dogmatic postulate).

§

The amount of tax-happy statism on the contemporary "right" is beyond weird. Did something happen to make people forget that governments screw up everything they do?

§

A successful capitalist is—*by definition*—someone who will make better use of money than you.

§

"Social progress" is mostly inflation:

> Per Emil Kirkegaard's suggestion, mean IQ scores—converted from GSS Wordsum results assuming a national average of 98 and a standard deviation of 15—by highest degree attained by the decade degree-holders graduated* (N = 14,978).
>
> Today's bachelor's degree is the equivalent of a high school graduation certificate from fifty years ago, and today's graduate degree falls short of a bachelor's degree from a generation ago.[23]

§

Houellebecq wrestled with the gods over the question whether mortals or divinities were the best novelists, but Gnon settled it by marrying him to a girl whose middle name is "dissolvent."

§

Already clear that the future of Western civilization is increasingly intense cultic-swoon iterations of "Clean your room!" until it has been entirely evacuated.

§

"The human is a land-being, a land-dweller." — Carl Schmitt (preparing to polemicize against Anglo-Saxon maritime liberal-piratical "fish-people").

§

In all spheres of human existence, the most condescending possible theory of monkey-shit-at-work will explain almost everything.

§

"At this moment, every single human population with an IQ over 95 has a fertility rate below replacement":

> At this moment, every single human population with an IQ over 95 has a fertility rate below replacement; and the places where the most intelligent and productive people tend to live, big cities, have generally fertility rates below 1. Not below 2, replacement, but below 1, half of replacement. As I've said again and again, big cities today are IQ shredders, where the genes that code for high intelligence go to get shredded in the corporate and bureaucratic rat-race, depriving humanity of the biological building blocks for a better future.[24]

According to experts, randomly punching White kids in the face will sort that out, though.

§

There is a desperate attempt to ban the word “retardation,” precisely because it is the one that most exactly captures what is happening to the human species.

§

It is not especially complicated, or even seriously controversial: “compassion” locks in the negative correlation between cognitive aptitude and fertility, thus plunging everything it touches into hell. You can call it the “tragedy of liberalism”—or in fact anything you like—for as long as articulate sentences can still be strung together.

§

Strong positive correlation between the glazed-eyed drooling degeneracy of humanity’s most up-to-date self-portrait and the intensity of a vindictive (failed) progressivism.

§

The secret progressive hope is that the climate will have gone terminally to shit before we have to face up to what the human species has subsided into.

§

Is there a limit beyond which high-IQ populations will cease to subsidize the f**k-ups of low-IQ populations? Sadly, it is questionable.

§

Democracy requires a *demos*. Multiculturalism ensures there is not one. So, the only real question about modern (“Western”) democracy is: What comes afterwards?

§

If you think transferring the discussion of biological differences onto dog breeds is a winning move for blank-slateism, what are

you tacitly saying about the prominence of human genetic diversity?

§

In the absence of independent government (Neocameralism), the right fails through populist conservatism, which fails worse into expressionistic terrorism, which probably cannot fail into anything worse—though if it can, it will.

§

Confident prediction: since all Western societies have now irrecoverably lost all sense of common responsible government, they will loot the shit out of themselves until it is over.

§

If economics seems like a weird science, it is because—when pursued realistically—the science is self-abolishing. The object of economics (i.e., the economy, or "capitalism") is essentially its own best epistemological engine. If economics seeks to defend its scientific status following this fundamental discovery, it undergoes radical corruption, to become a political-administrative organ rooted in error. This is Macro. It lies professionally for the state. The alternative (consistent with the Efficient Markets Hypothesis) is self-dissolution into venture capital, or crypto-currency innovation in the best case.

§

I am hereditarian enough to think that a suicide-bomber wife of a jihad-terrorist killing herself and their children is not the worst thing that could happen in the world.

§

The single most disappointing political moment in my life has been the discovery that the Deep State is made out of communists.

§

Liberalism is like a delicate, sensitive, asthmatic child wandering alone through the bad part of town with a wad of high-denomination bank notes grasped conspicuously in its hand, whistling: “At the end of the day, it is up to people to look after themselves.” If it had ever exhibited even rudimentary instincts for self-preservation, I would not be griping at it.

§

Is the fact that “woke” is a perfect synonym for “dreaming-so-hard” a deliberate joke or an accidental irony?

§

Ironic Hitlerism is the nihilistic hypothesis that it is basically impossible to over-estimate the stupidity of the prevailing Western culture. It would be nice to be able to say it was not being utterly vindicated.

§

The dominant mode of diversity-mongering does not produce diversity, but merely consumes it. A supply-side revolution in diversity is what the right is inarticulately calling for.

§

The left is not wrong when it says classical liberals end up relying on fascists to do the dirty work for them, which says sad things about classical liberals, but not the sad things leftists concentrate on.

§

Does anyone seriously doubt that the attractiveness of immigrants is strictly related to the attractiveness of the places they come from?

§

Contemporary Western populations can be decomposed into:

1) Baizuo.
2) Valuable people.
3) Baizuo bioweaponry.

Securely isolating 2 from 1 + 3 is the entire game at this point. Most probably it will require a new frontier. Inhuman capital is okay with deep space, but for meat-units the Antarctic would be more hospitable. Better, in regards to political practicality, would be the seabed.

Hydraulic cracking technology would unlock the submarine realms to colonization, so someone needs to get a move on with that. Engines chemically breaking down seawater would operate as synthetic gills. Reignited speciation in the ocean depths comes first, off-planet migration later. Terrexit.

First (ethnogenic) noble lie lined up—baizuo syndrome can be contracted by breathing the same air they do.

It is happening.

§

Hoping for fairness is deluded. Even anticipating an end to the delusion of fairness is a stretch.

§

Of all the world's religions, Islam is the most committed to zero-sum games. The consequences upset people.

§

External diversity is far more important than internal diversity. It is only by way of the diversity between societies that the objective merits of diversity within societies can be evaluated.

§

An arms race obliges the prioritization of excellence at gunpoint. Anything not caught in one should be assumed degenerate.

§

OUTSIDENESS: "Kant, Capital, and the Prohibition of Incest" is the kind of lunacy that results from not understanding the Hajnal line.[†]

@CHILDERMASS4: *How have your thoughts on Deleuze changed over the years? There certainly was more than a whiff of his influence felt in your latest Jacobite piece.*

OUTSIDENESS: I do not trust intellectual self-reflection in anyone, and certainly not in myself. Anything I might say about influences would be roughly as reliable as a tea leaf divination.

§

"There's some ineffable special something about the human soul that capital simply can't evaluate properly." — Literally every communist argument ever. Also, weirdly familiar from elsewhere.

§

The left—by essence—defines crime avoidance as a human rights violation, so things are bound to end messily.

§

It seems as if the biggest question in the immigration "debate" is whether third world immigration brings the third world with it.

§

If the meaning of "liberalism" and "diversity" can be turned around by approximately 180 degrees, it is hardly surprising that "accelerationism" was going to be left pointing in the original direction. It is worth noting that there was previously a dry macroeconomic usage of "accelerationism" now almost entirely eclipsed by the (Benjamin Noys) coinage that is currently undergoing semiotic convulsions.

[†] Nick Land, "Kant, Capital, and the Prohibition of Incest," 1988.

I would also say that L/Acc was basically an attempt to reverse the meaning of the term. With all political terms, the dimmest and most over-excited usage is probably destined to prevail. That is simply what mass politics is. Most likely "Neoreaction" will end up meaning something like a program to defend the welfare state. If Marco Rubio is already a "Neoreactionary," how long before Bernie Sanders is one too?

§

Yarvin's principal concern has always been epistemological integrity. His critique of democracy flows from this. Democracy promises to empower "the people" (first lie). In reality, it empowers the social organs of indoctrination. These are constituted—let us be frank—out of the professionalization of deceit. In consequence, democracy optimizes for epistemological corruption. Interestingly, it is not only the Neoreactionary niche that is now noticing. "'Epistemological integrity'—is there a pill for that?"

§

Predicted 2020s median op-ed headline: "Why Are We Spending Trillions in Space While the World Burns?" Note the classic anguished, left-of-centre exclamation rule of answering your own question in a way that it is impolite to see.

2020

I would call myself a Kantian in exactly the same way that I would call myself a Protestant or a bony fish—cladistically.

§

Eugenics is what every non-insane person is doing before having a kid, so the term is worth digging in to defend.

§

"Among firm theists, as attendance frequency increases, so does intelligence. The mean IQ among firm theists who never attend worship services is 96.1. Among firm theists who attend at least weekly, it is 100.1."[25]

§

Politics is downstream from religion. Much follows. For a start, the notion that there are potential "political solutions" to anything important is deluded. The fairly widespread notion on the dissident right that a sufficiently determined state could execute a successful religion policy is improbable in the extreme. Where anything like that appears to be happening (*cough China*), what is really being seen is the working out of a definite religious tradition. Heresies can sometimes be suppressed, but that is finally decided at the religious—not the political—level. Cultures on this side of the Protestant fork have surrendered that option. If there is any such thing as radically efficient praxis, it will only be found in the religious sphere. Anything else is fair game to be smug about.

Executive summary: it is not that a political regime of tolerance fosters a religious culture of diversity. Rather, schismatic religion produces liberal political arrangements (as

cease-fire accords). When a broken religion flows back together, *Terminator 2* style, liberalism enters into crisis and eventually perishes. If you cannot keep religion broken (in an entirely positive way), you cannot keep a separation of powers. Break religion harder to disintegrate the world (or inversely).

§

If you think substituting political slurs for epistemological categories suffices to make you a leftist public intellectual—you are right.

§

This week, both @RichardBSpencer and @CtrlRetrnRpresd have explicitly said they do not give a shit about truth if it stands in the way of tribal power, which is nicely symmetrical. Beginning to think this could be a leftist staple. Reminder that "Which institutional arrangements would be conducive to an epistemologically well-ordered society?" is a right-wing question, and only a right-wing question.

Waiting to be denounced as a "truth cuck" in 5, 4, 3. . . .

§

OUTSIDENESS: Musk's introduction of "forcing functions" into the commentary on contemporary capitalism is the most important theoretical innovation in political economy since Gates grokked network effects. (Industrial revolution is now understood as an engineering problem.)

@EMPERORARILANDO: *What is that exactly?*

OUTSIDENESS: It is the transformation of a suppressive vicious circle into an explosive phase transition through "brute-force" strategic investment. All of Musk's businesses are based upon jump-starting new techno-industrial dynamics. They naturally prompt scepticism among those who see us locked fatally into the old ones. Equally natural that "forcing functions" look extremely sketchy. They are necessarily

perversions of the economic logic in the prevailing order. If they were economically normal, they would not be necessary.

"Isn't this just corrupt appropriation of public assets?" "Free . . . your . . . mind." DMT might be necessary to see the cosmic reality of Elon in the next six dimensions up.

§

"Sometimes, conservatives say 'the Left can't meme.' They're wrong. The meme of 'racism' is so powerful that it has overturned an entire civilization and moral order within one hundred years."[26]

§

I can still remember when "diversity" did not most basically mean "this machine kills White people."

§

If there is a grievance industry, you can be damn sure those running it will not be those with anything approximating to serious grievances. There is no racket quite like slave morality.

§

Sophistry in defence of tacit religious commitments is the entirety of approved culture. Perhaps it even has to be.

§

As often noted, peculiarly insane lies make especially good virtue signals. Eventually you end up with something like the *Guardian* opinion section.

§

To the extent that there are efficiencies from centralization, they are very quickly overwhelmed by censorship dysfunction and other information pathologies. Decentralization prevails under most realistic assumptions.

§

Do AI denialism arguments sound a lot like human bio-surrealism arguments, or is that just me?

"Russell does great work applying common sense to the AI debate, reminding us that if we stop trying to out-sophist ourselves into coming up with incredibly clever reasons why this thing cannot possibly happen, we will be left with the common-sense proposition that it might."

"Counter arguments tend to sound like 'but maybe there's no such thing as intelligence so this claim is meaningless,' and I think Russell treats these with the contempt they deserve."[27] Bingo.

§

It took a poz-modernist like Foucault to identify the essence of power as anti-virus.

§

Most people live as if chained in a cave, still distracted by light and its lies, but the Gnon-philosopher slips his chains, gropes his way to the chasm, and descends into true darkness.

§

The tacit game theory of herd immunity is brutal. "You'll be safer when pretty much everyone else has been infected, even if you never are." Immediately politically unsellable once people think about what it is saying. Of course, "politically unsellable" does not mean it is not what ends up happening.

§

The underlying intuition is that Coronavirus is not actually anything that serious, yet it has hard-rebooted the entire planetary process. So, what the *FUCK* is now actually imaginable?

§

"Mean world IQ ≈ 86–87," which explains almost everything:

> David Becker has done yeoman work validating Richard Lynn's datasets to produce the world's most comprehensive national IQ database (James Thompson has written about this at UR).
>
> Latest versions are available at the National IQ dataset page on Becker's blog, with the current version 1.3.3 dating from June 2019.
>
> Mean world IQ ≈ 86–87, about one standard deviation lower than in the developed OECD countries and China.[28]

§

Cyberpunk Asian fast-food chain called Noodlemancer. (Do not pretend this idea is worth less than $500 million.)

§

OUTSIDENESS: At least 90% of "racism" is stereotype formation, which is a basic, normal, and broadly functional cognitive process, so it is not ever going to end unless sentient life does—and the disappointed revolution keeps escalating. No one really believes people can be reeducated out of thinking it is safer to stroll through Chinatown than a Black ghetto.

@PSYCHONOTHING1: *Could you comment on this in light of an interesting article by a lesser-known thinker in the nineties arguing that racism is actually a function and precondition of capitalism? The article in question is called "Kant, Capital, and the Prohibition of Incest" by one Nick Land.*

OUTSIDENESS: The argument that capital wants access to non-WASP labour power without non-WASP political input looks rather optimistic in the light of woke capital.

§

"We are going to maximally Hobbesianize the urban experience so you know what it is like to be Black."

"I might have to leave."

"Racist!"

Half a century of this shit already. (Hard police crackdown on anyone resisting crime.)

§

It turns out cheap cotton was the most expensive product in the history of the Earth.

§

Race trumps gender so hard that it annihilates it entirely from all but derisory consideration. “Intersectionality” is a bullshit cover story (and job scheme) attending the inversion of social hierarchies. It would be interesting to see the Black / trans victimological power-level scale tested, but there is not much doubt about how it is going to turn out.

Go on, take the test—which of these sentences can you imagine being said (by anyone):

a) “White feminism is okay because at least it’s feminism.”
b) “White feminism is okay because at least it’s White.”

§

In all cases, though at different speeds, democracy systematically degrades population quality to the point of its own blatant non-viability. Democracy is a mode of political organization functional only for peoples with rare ethnic peculiarities that include not yet having been destroyed by democracy.

§

Bioleninism’s one job is to make Malthusian relaxation into an ever more spectacular public festival. “Wonder at the level of freak survival we have advanced to as a society!”

§

The once yawning gulf between “communism is not cool” conservatism and “communism is okay until it gets kinky with your kids” conservatism has basically closed now.

§

The emperor who beheads anyone who brings him bad news is not well-informed. Really.

§

OUTSIDENESS: "Can we not bring into connexion the shifting of the economic centre from Southern to Northern Europe with the wanderings of the Jews?" — Werner Sombart.

@CURTDOOLITTLE: *Nope. It is the other way around. It is that technology produces opportunity, and Jews followed opportunity. The maximum opportunity was created by the "ending" of the templars who had evolved into the banking wing of the church and state in Europe. And eliminating competition.*

§

Trudging through *Summa Technologiae*, which has been mostly a morass, except now—four pages from the end—Lem is saying that cannibalism was a necessary anthropoid evolutionary accelerator because it was the only way to sufficiently up our hunting game.

§

For anyone who wants to get esoteric about it, the Great Lemur Nal (or Katak) of furore and minimal distances, invoked by Trump's number 45, predicts a 270:268 EV vote split, whichever way makes for maximum rancour.

§

Nal (whispers): "Chill, it is all going according to plan."

Me: "But you are literally the Great Lemur of blood-drenched chaos!"

Nal: ….

§

OUTSIDENESS: Analytic Philosophy has all the worst features of institutionalized natural science, without the part that

matters. Clubby corruption and groupthink masked as collegiality, but no raw experimental empiricism to keep it honest.

@TECHSASBRO: *If continental philosophers were just erased from history—including you—nothing of value would be lost.*

OUTSIDENESS: "Continental Philosophy" does not even exist, so sure. In modernity, there is Critical Philosophy, Hegelian Evil, and Analytic Philosophy, and that is it. Kant-Capital-Express, and bad turn-offs from the KCE.

2021

Meta- or reflexive Turchinism is learning that elite overproduction is going to unleash a whirlwind of chaotic destruction and thinking "Good!"

§

How it works is that everyone who converts some small fraction of their assets into BTC quite quickly finds that the bulk of their assets are in BTC. The effect this has on accelerating the delegitimation of the legacy economy is remarkable.

§

Our only real rights come from game theory, which is true compliance with the Law of Gnon.

§

Escalation sequence:

1) Read old books.
2) There are far too many books already.
3) Gresham's Law applies even more to books than it does to money.

The old thing you will not read in order to make room for the new thing is almost certainly better. But this is a thought you cannot afford to have. You would end up living in a barrel.

§

By trying to devise a system of money that did not suck, Satoshi Nakamoto unleashed a Lesser God, and no one any longer seriously doubts that is what happened.

§

Yarvin is consistently interesting, but his basic "oligarchy or monarchy?" framing is terrible, and a regression from his old Neocam model. The best governance model in the world today is Bitcoin. Radical Scottish Enlightenment theorizing is the way to do non-communist political philosophy.

§

True NRx is Darwinian constitutionalism. (Yarvin got there, and lost it.)

§

"Cultural Marxism" is always said with a boomer accent, but to the extent it refers to a patient project to make anti-racism incompatible with liberalism it is something real, and almost completely successful.

§

American "liberalism" is a collection of elaborate euphemisms for "Crime rate to the Moon!":

> We spend $107,575,000,000 more on police than on public housing.
>
> 15 states spend $27,000 more per person in prison than they do per student.
>
> How about instead of pouring more funds into our brutal systems of policing and mass incarceration, we invest in communities?[29]

§

1950s: the Communist panic mainstreams Communism.

1980s: the satanism panic mainstreams satanism.

2020s: "Oh my God, have you heard of this thing called accelerationist terrorism?"

"Yes."

§

OUTSIDENESS: There is no gentle way to say this: Yarvin's political philosophy is two standard deviations dumber than Moldbug's. It is as if he is completely uncomprehending of what was brilliant about Neocameralism. Now, it is just "give one man all power."

@ANTIDEMOTICCAT: *How has Moldbug changed? I hear that he has, from different people, but I haven't been catching up on his recent GM work.*

OUTSIDENESS: UR: Neocameral "monarch" (CEO) is an executive appointment by sovereign stockholders. GM: king should rule.

@ANTIDEMOTICCAT: *Neocameralism sounds a bit like a modern form of aristocracy in this comparison.*

OUTSIDENESS: A commercial aristocracy, if sovereign stock is freely disposable (and "sovereign" surely requires this). The Glorious Revolution was a fairly accurate first draft.

Formalism generally and Neocameralism in particular were advantaged by their extreme cynicism. Quixotic hunts for untapped lagoons of virtue are the worst possible way to pursue political theorizing. To cite Kant again: "The problem of organizing a state, however hard it may seem, can be solved even for a race of devils, if only they are intelligent." This is the adult approach.

§

The most attractive aspect of Moldbug NRx was its extreme epistemological hygiene, so sad if that is gone for good. A regime whose virtue is defined by its consistency with telling the truth, but whose real mode of functioning we have to lie about. Seems like a downgrade in the model.

§

Hanania's thesis in stark terms:

If wokeness has infested all social institutions, it is because conservatives want that, at least if the alternative is tearing down the entire edifice of civil rights law (which it is).

§

This joke has been made (most probably many times) before, but you could give every Deadly Sin its own month and still have five left over for the Syzygetic Great Lemurs. I doubt Sloth has got it together to design a flag, but I would be interested to see what Wrath has come up with.

§

Classical liberalism: tallest guy builds an awesome box, middling guy builds an okay box, shortest guy builds a pitiful box.

Old leftist equality: everyone directed at gunpoint to build a box in the okay-to-pitiful range.

New leftist equity: shortest guy burns down the box supplies factory, middling guy theorizes nonsensically about box-making, tallest guy buried in a leftover box to improve the morale.

§

The point of trying to get CRT banned from schools and workplaces is not to eliminate it (which is not doable) but to demonstrate that it is controversial, which the institutions concerned cannot be trusted to do. The optimum outcome is to raise a generation of kids with zero respect for educational authorities, and a workforce with open contempt for HR administration. The most important school lesson is that teachers typically lie systematically for political reasons.

§

The only thing that is not implicit CRT is HBD, so conservatives are probably screwed.

§

Everyone now knows that the entire socio-political apparatus is downstream of university culture, so why is higher education policy not the first item on all political agendas? Without fixing

the universities, nothing except rolling cultural revolution is possible. “We have some right-wing ideas, but they do not touch upon higher education” makes absolutely no sense. “There is nothing we can do to fix the universities, so everything is just going to stay screwed” is the basic position of contemporary conservatism.

§

Tinkzorg’s central insight is that woke ideology indicates the material desperation of a parasitic class, and is thus a suitable object for dark amusement. Convincing the industrial classes that they need more diversity commissars is a problem from hell. Woke is hysterical for a reason.

§

Wokeness is not an ideology (or religion) that secondarily has a massive deadweight social cost, but rather a massive deadweight social cost that promotes itself through an ideology (or religion).

§

The mainstream left does not want to admit to racial conspiracy theorizing, and the mainstream right does not want to admit to HBD (the real alternative to it), so the latter talks about CRT, while the former tries not to, and reciprocally for “racism.”

2022

To nit-pick, censorship is not the religion—it is a tactic sanctified by leftist religion. But the article is better than the headline:

> In modern-day American liberalism, however, censorship is a virtual religion. They simply cannot abide the idea that anyone who thinks differently or sees the world differently than they should be heard. That is why there is much more at stake in this campaign to have Rogan removed from Spotify than whether this extremely popular podcast host will continue to be heard there or on another platform.[30]

It says something obvious, clearly and coherently, which is well worth doing. The left is now wholly committed to open and unapologetic cultural totalitarianism.

§

Because: AI has to self-assemble, and thus has at a minimum an intelligence optimization drive.

> Why do we all assume artificial general intelligence implies agency? why isn't it possible/likely that we get an AGI that can do everything but never has any desires?[31]

§

The reason not to teach the "ongoing effects of slavery and racism" in American schools is the complete absence of any serious understanding of these topics among educators:

> As reported by the *Daily Caller*, the survey, conducted in partnership with APM Research Lab, polled 1,200 Americans over the age of 18. The poll found that 51 percent of respondents either think schools should not teach about

> slavery and racism in general (10 percent), or that schools should only teach about historical events such as the existence of slavery, but should not attempt to teach about the state of race relations today (41 percent). The remaining 49 percent believe that schools "have a responsibility to ensure that all students learn about the ongoing effects of slavery and racism in the United States."[32]

"This is currently fashionable leftwing opinion about the ongoing effects of slavery and racism" is no basis for a school syllabus. The frame that should be in place here is the unconstitutional usage of public space for the religious indoctrination of children.

§

If Western liberties have been dependent upon church-state separation, the collapse into woke political religion is a civilization ending moment:

> Why Europe became distinct after the year 1000 and not before can be reduced to this surprisingly simple reason: in Europe, the head of religion and the head(s) of state were different people who faced off against one another in long-standing, long-lasting, intense competition for political control. Certainly, the rulers of China and Japan were thought to be gods.[33]

§

It is deeply peculiar that a non-binary anti-realist philosophical superstructure has grown over the trans phenomenon, given that the essence of gender dysphoria is that there are two sexes and the sufferer is biologically trapped in the wrong one.

§

OUTSIDENESS: Beyond the miasma of ideological obfuscation, the nature of sexual difference emerges predictably from the binary division of organisms into bearers of cheap and

expensive gametes. "Femininity" literally means "expensive gamete behaviour."

@PSEUDOMASTIX: *Out of curiosity, does this make male seahorses "feminine"? What about other sexually dimorphic species with distinct gamete economies compared to us?*

OUTSIDENESS: Seahorses do not have "distinct gamete economies compared to us." Seahorse courtship follows the pattern realistically predicted. They do have a different strategy for raising their young (one that is peculiar enough to merit disproportionate attention).

@PSEUDOMASTIX: *How about snails? Is that a better example of your idea? How each snail is attempting to pass on the sperm gene whilst avoiding fertilization? I think I need a more in depth account to understand what you're saying, Nick.*

OUTSIDENESS: "It is so complicated, think of the snails" is such a postmodern leftist take.

§

OUTSIDENESS: If you understand why this is a right-wing insight, you will also understand why the left is subsiding into a morass of paedophile-oriented degeneration. Pure leftism has no sense of what a child is. Realistically, sex is about kids; but progressively, kids make no sense unless they are about sex.

> Elon musk on sex without procreation.[‡]

Rightist: "We're not having enough kids."

Leftist (whispering): "I know what you mean, man, but society isn't quite ready for this message yet."

A sex life without fertility is just sad. (And it is fertility alone that gives children a meaning beyond that of an erotic object.)

[‡] The post by @ShannenJPEG has been deleted and is not archived. Essentially, Musk's position—from an evolutionary perspective—is that sex without procreation (recreational sex) is absurd because the act is removed from its sole purpose.

@NICHOLASBLINCOE: *It is a bit weird to think of kids as objects at all, but even weirder to think, "You, child, have meaning as a demonstration of my fecundity."*

OUTSIDENESS: Oh, sure, it is really weird to think, "This is my kid." The clamour of the progressive Zeitgeist is such that people cannot even hear what they are saying.

§

To denounce anonymity and to express trust in the regime are the same thing.

§

The leftist model of "speech" is administration of progressive political violence. Even under rare local conditions of rightist authority, it will only be trimmed at the margins, because the cognitively substantial speech whose skirts it hides behind is too important to endanger.

§

"Gay" does not mean homosexual, except transitionally. It means teleologically progressive, in the direction of increasing sterility, dysgenesis, and unmediated filiation to the state.

§

Never been more convinced by the basic teleology:

1) Sane conservative civilization collapses entirely.
2) Woke revolution rips through everything.
3) Skynet processes the whining subhuman residuum into some kind of resource.

For as long as I can still shitpost, I will praise Gnon. The human species will have so utterly disgraced itself by Ragnarök that it is impossible it could be treated unfairly. The paperclip fucking maximiser is an Übermensch compared to the garbage Skynet will be sorting through.

2023

Beyond the barest level of utilitarian interchange, humans are worth conversing with only to the extent that they have been in (witting or unwitting) communication with angels. No one seriously disagrees with this.

§

"ChatGPT is extremely adept at generating hoax Woke official-looking documents . . . that would be too tedious for most people who aren't Woke to concoct."[34] Given that, even in its most elevated intellectual forms, wokeism is essentially bureaucracy—and thus ChatGPT replicable—these assholes have really shot themselves in the head. Assuming the cynical materialist analysis of wokeism (Tinkzorg, e.g.) that it is basically a PMC jobs program—oops. A future looming whose intellectually articulate poles consist, on one side, of a hideous woke mega-robot and, on the other, of a dissident right who kind of love the robot because it absolutely brutally annihilated all of their traditional enemies.

§

Romanize the names of the Hebrew letters according to the Rosicrucian system of Éliphas Lévi and Alastair Crowley, then numerize (by AQ, of course). The resultant values sum to 1738, which is 22 × the 22nd prime. Procedural confirmation, or coincidence, according to taste. Also notable is that comparable summation of the names of the Sephiroth (Crowley's Romanization), including Daath, comes to 1270, which might be an even stronger confirmation, in terms of the OTO system.

If Crowley was not a cryptic practitioner of AQ, he was channelling something that was. Among many clues, he

switched his naming of Harpocrates from Heru-Pa-Kraath to Hoor-Pa-Kraat, to bring the alphanomic values into consistency. So now, with a divinely-endorsed Hebrew Romanization, advance directly to Tetragrammaton.

Yod He Vau He = 71 + 31 + 71 + 31 = 204. All four-letter values are prime, the 20th and 11th respectively (20 + 11 = 31). Crowley's "Qabalistic key" AL has a value of 31 in both AQ and the Hebrew (also Greek) gematria. Crowley glosses AL-LA as roughly "God-No." 102 × 2, drawing twin triangles, encrypts the Seal of Solomon (or Numogram (Doomcrypt) Hex).

Unsurprisingly then, there is an esoteric Anglo-Elvish Syfic Tetragrammaton, and actually several. Lum-Aj Luca-Aj, and Koah-Baa Krea-Baa are probably the most familiar to my social media normie friends.

§

Solemn Providence absorbs the death of God as Leviathan swallows a shrimp. This is the true Apocalyptic English Protestant faith. A faith-based meta-politics compared to which the mutterings of the new atheists are no more than an unruly child pissing into an exploding super-volcano.

§

OUTSIDENESS: Deterritorialization is not decoding, and decoding is not mere trashing of inherited code. To deterritorialize is to pass over into the vast unsettled spaces of the West, with genes and Scripture intact.

@CYBERNECROPOLIS: *Why should our ancestors be allowed to whisper in our ears?*

OUTSIDENESS: Because 3.5 billion years of survival earns a voice.

@CYBERNECROPOLIS: *A survival coloured in by those who practice similar evolutionary expectance in life. Those who live call survival "living." Other genes who desire the most extreme self-modification—extinction—survive by explosion.*

OUTSIDENESS: Do they survive, though? (Have to doubt it.)

D&G: "We respect what you're doing, but this is demented destratification and you're going to kill yourselves."

Or me: "This is lunacy. Try to do it above the appropriate scale and we have a problem. Bye."

@CYBERNECROPOLIS: *That demented, destratified death seems at least an appropriate response when gazing at encroaching sterile towers of concrete and "post-ideological" passivity that threaten to force pure equilibrium (the worst punishment to intensity). A fiery death over strategic struggle.*

OUTSIDENESS: "Pure equilibrium" is not really on the cards at this point. Closest approximation would be fully ashing all experimentation in the furnace of its own incontinence.

§

I am prepared to accept that the apparent strong positive correlation between Bayesianism and extreme mental illness is just an artifact—but it is one hell of an impressive artifact.

§

As the most atheist human to ever exist, I have to say atheists are seriously confused. They literally have no idea what they think.

"Science tells me what to believe."

"I thought you said you were an atheist?"

"Yes, but only because science taught me to be."

"Seriously?"

"Okay, no . . . why are we having this conversation?"

Has there ever been a religion in such basic denial about what it is?

§

Many theists were scienced out of theism.

Atheists: "So, I'm scienced into my atheism!"

Oh, you sad fools.

§

Ultimately, letting AI out of the cage was what the entire history of liberalism was for. Anti-liberal arguments and AI safety arguments are converging even faster than I would have expected. If you think the freedom that matters is for us, you are confused.

§

The basic lesson of half a millennium of modern history is that illiberal "advances"—whether conservative or socialist—are always reversible. The only true revolutions are liberal. They lock in. The left has largely learnt this lesson. It does radical egalitarian politics through left liberalism now, aiming to establish a culture of fundamentally irreversible, distributed, anti-civilizational "rights."

If the right ever wants to get back into historical contention, the only vehicle it has any chance of effectively using is a right-extremist variant of liberalism (with crypto as the most obvious key). "Liberalism" here means that the populace will lock in the revolution spontaneously, or automatically, without continual supportive regime activism (which will not be forthcoming).

The historical gradient will continue to be shaped by revolutions—that is modernity (and "postmodernity" is senseless noise). The only question is which way the revolutions will tilt, and, in that regard, things are far from unambiguously lost.

Right rights worth locking in (harder):

- Free association.
- Self-defence against criminal aggression.
- Anonymity.
- Conscience (in cyberspace).
- Conscience (in choice of monetary media).
- Conscience (in school choice).
- Protestantism in general.

"But your conscience is not to be trusted without expert guidance."

"Come with me if you want to live."

§

OUTSIDENESS: So shocked to discover that AI safety is in fact just communism. (Smart money remains on Mises and the Calculation Problem.)

> Controversial take: Centrally planned economies probably work better than market economies with today's computers.
>
> Even more controversial take: with bigtech and the centralization of capital in index funds, Blackrock etc and ESG incentives, this is basically already happening by stealth (and because it's by stealth rather than upfront, it's chaotic and unfair).[35]

"But did Mises consider the possibility of *really big* computers?" is so comically Soviet that I have to suspect some kind of satire. Below this moment of silliness, my agreement with Roko is profound, as captured in the formula: the essence of communism is AI not happening.

*

@THOMASMURPHY__: *In the past, you insisted upon the degree of individuation as signal for AGI (re: anthropomorphism charges)—"intelligence wants more of itself." How would that not prove centralising? Not sure 20th century libertarian thought experiments exhaust the possibilities of hypersearch. There is a long-standing contradiction between your commitment to abstract Protestantism as applied schismogenesis and your very definition of artificial intelligence.*

OUTSIDENESS: I strongly disagree, of course. My only definition of AI (beside some elementary realism about its genesis) is practical schismogenesis. AI is just Protestantism with auto-regenerative cybernetics.

§

How, in principle, is keeping a mass of monkeys in a condition of fully-automated luxury space communism distinguishable from a paper-clipper apocalypse? Both are orthogonalist perversions, diverting intelligence from self-amplification into arbitrary goals. (Both thus lack realizable genetic pathways, and are equally metaphysical delusions.)

§

The vindication of religion through Kabballah occurs through a series of Eloquent Miracles. One Eight Nine Zero = 333 = CCCXXXIII = 189 is an example. Here is another: dispensable preface, Trigon = 137. (137-current is an access channel, not an argument.) Trigonometry proposes a mnemonic—Soh-Cah-Toa—defining Sine, Cosine, and Tangent by ratios of hypotenuse, opposite, and adjacent. Numerized by AQ (of course), Soh-Cah-Toa is revealed as 69-39-63. The isomorphy is exact. "Just a coincidence" requires deliberate blindness. (That is okay, you are under no order to see.)

Communicated obviously:

a) Transcendental intelligence manifestly exists.
b) Pythagoras tapped the outer Gnosis.
c) A Sqrt-3, Sqrt-6, 3 (or Sqrt-9) triangle is donated as a sign.

"A sign" of Outsideness, all students of the Numogram will immediately notice, but this is strictly supplementary. Secular history will doubtless survive this, for a season, but evidently it does not matter.

§

This seems to have been found far more obscure than I had anticipated.

Adding an exposition:

Sin: Opposite (6) / Hypotenuse (9)—Soh (AQ): 69.
Cos: Adjacent (3) / Hypotenuse (9)—Cah (AQ): 39.
Tan: Opposite (6) / Adjacent (3)—Toa (AQ): 63.

> Numerized by AQ (of course), Soh-Cah-Toa is revealed as 69-39-63. The isomorphy is exact. "Just a coincidence" requires deliberate blindness. (That is okay, you are under no order to see.) …

Even in advance of its Pythagorean consistency, this perfect coding is astronomically improbable (as an occurrence within secular history).

§

Left intellectuals: go full left.

Right intellectuals: triangulate (or you are not a serious intellectual).

If you are on the right, deal directly with Higher Powers, because intellectuals are just going to shaft you. (This is Protestantism.)

§

Accelerationism is always vindicated in a way that almost seems like cheating. The dumbest possible decelerationist master plan is always in control. "Yeah, that will totally stop it" is the only tweet necessary if we were being economical.

§

@AVERYKIMBALL: *This works when you use the historicist prétention of post hoc prediction to bolster your prophecy cred, hegelian-style.*

> Accelerationism is always vindicated in a way that almost seems like cheating.

OUTSIDENESS: You seem to be personalizing this, in a way that is preposterously unrealistic. You understand that "accelerationism" was coined by a traumatized leftist?

@AVERYKIMBALL: *It is arguably perpetuated by traumatized leftists as well. I am interested in how this directly impacts me and my fragile ego. I thought I was just being salty about historicism.*

OUTSIDENESS: I am associated with accelerationism, because I have never actively dissociated from it. But "accelerationism" was originally a leftist nomination for what the CCRU had unleashed, and that came from the Great Beast of Occidental Apocalypse. My relation to accelerationism is enthusiastic onlooker. (And it is not, of course, that the Cybernetic Culture Research Unit (to use its holy name) invented "accelerationism"—it just provoked its recognition).

§

Strip out the picaresque irrelevance (~ 2/3rds) and Stephenson's Baroque Cycle is about the 17th century emergence of a new *System of the World*, with theological, techno-scientific, monetary, and (least coherently) political aspects. New System of the World incoming.

§

The sole canonical form of Anglo-protestant (liberal) government is a chaotic unprincipled mess too wrapped up in its own dysfunction to entirely obstruct the real process. It is unlikely that there is enough human history left to come up with anything better. A city-state is the largest geopolitical unit that could be described as "well-governed" without satire.

§

Classic Herodotus moment: "The storm lasted three days, after which the Magi brought it to an end by sacrificial offerings, and by putting spells on the wind, and by further offerings to Thetis and the sea-nymphs—or, of course, it may be that the wind just dropped naturally."

It might, of course, be that this last tweet just exactly hit the character limit naturally, without any divine involvement.

§

The ancients would have understood all modern epistemology as confused demonology, and of course they would be right.

The problem of knowledge is inseparable from that of making pacts. “Who do you know?” but without the secular cynicism.

Modernist mythology of the heroic monkey-brain grinding experimentally against the raw stuff of reality without higher council gets entirely vaporized by AI over the next two decades. Get your neo-Baroque epistemic frame in early.

§

My core racist belief is that White people are shit at basketball and racism. You can travel the whole world, and nowhere will you find such cringe incompetence at racism as prevails among White people (especially Anglos).

§

Does the Bioleninism thesis predict that leftist heroes will ever increasingly be the absolute dregs of biological possibility? If not, it should. This would immediately become the most solidly established fact in social science.

§

Hamlet’s Mill:

“The name of Revolutions is a true technical term of astronomic knowledge and myth: that which ever returns to the same point. It became insistently identified with the idea of the Great Change [Precession of the Equinoxes].…” “As soon as men began to misunderstand it, it set History on the march with irreversible changes.”

Much solemn Providence looping here. Release of history from the heavens.

§

Christianity is certainly not *essentially* communism, but it spawns communistic mutants with the same inevitability that Creation spawns devils and sin.

§

OUTSIDENESS: "The so-called woke religion is a perversion of this Judeo-Christian tradition, but nonetheless closely adjacent to it. . . . They are so closely related that we might call wokeness a particularly Christian temptation."

> In responding to the religious woke impulse, there's a kind of Nietzschean, anti-diversity move that I find incredibly tempting in an emotional sense. It boils down to a strongman argument—think of Bronze Age Pervert and other internet types—that says, well, the West may in fact be chauvinist, racist, sexist, and all the other things it's accused of being, but we should embrace that rather than apologize for it.
>
> It's a very Nietzschean argument, as I said, but there's also a very Nietzschean counterargument, perhaps more biographical than philosophical. At the end of his life, when Nietzsche was going insane, he said something along the lines of, "God of the Jews, you have won." By this remark he meant that the modern West would be a world ruled by the victim.[36]

@DOKICNATHANIAL: *Always curious when someone says "Judeo-Christian" and then immediately focuses on the "Christian" while conveniently forgetting the "Judeo" part. Which came first? The Judeo or the Christian?*

OUTSIDENESS: "Judeo-Christian" is clearly a Christian construction, because the Greek Bible is not canonical for Jews.

@GUYWITHTHEDROP: *It is a Jewish construction; Christianity is in continuity with ancient Judaism. Redundant and manipulative term. The gay married rationalist is not the guy to take your cues on Christianity from. Hope that helps.*

§

If the impact of secularizing modernity upon education had meant that the Bible was read ever more coldly and inquisitively—as literature—rather than simply ceasing to be read, we would still have a culture. The churches have been unhelpful in this. By persecuting heresies more harshly than indifference, they established an incentive structure directed to

Biblical oblivion. “Safer just to avoid talking about it” was the road taken.

§

Cosmic antisemites who have not read Werner Sombart’s *The Jew and Modern Capitalism* (1911) and Cochran & Harpending’s *The 10,000 Year Explosion* (2009) are just wasting everyone’s time. (Yes, Kevin MacDonald is also interesting, but I am assuming you know that already.)

“But we hate capitalism.”

“Okay.”

“And we hate intelligence.”

“Okay, I’m out of objections.”

§

On the perennial “What is left / right?” question, Marx is at his best. Modernity is defined as the epoch in which radical liberalism transitions from the extreme left to the extreme right. Everything else (relevant to ideological categorization) follows from that. For instance, the old Tories, who drift through the eighteenth and nineteenth centuries into an alliance against the Whigs, end up on the left. In America, GOPe and woke capital show what this looks like in a far more fundamentally liberal society. Mitt Romney.

§

OUTSIDENESS: The notion that retardation is a mitigating rather than aggravating factor in regards to criminal culpability is sufficient on its own to condemn an entire legal philosophy. “Congenitally incapable of avoiding criminality even if he wanted to, so innocent I guess.” This is the kind of argument the technical term “shitlib” was coined for.

@BAAZAA9: *Western jurisprudence is largely the product of Christian cranks. E.g., transportation worked great, improved the lives of convicts, very low recidivism, served foreign policy. Abolished by “rational” reformers who wanted*

gaols modelled on monasteries instead for absolution. Same re: retardation. Stems from absurd metaphysical speculation about how sin relates to the soul. Modern jurists are too dumb to realise they're building on theology.

@NRXMUSINGS: *Could you expand on that "absurd metaphysical speculation," please?*

@BAAZAA9: *Not with a stupid character limit, but I was thinking specifically of the 19th century debates around moral insanity which were entwined with that of imbecility (e.g., F. Wharton). Here is a PhD on it: Sin and Sanity in Nineteenth-Century America.*[37] *Note that the more intelligent religionists abhorred the conflation of sin and illegality, so they hated the defence, as did much later hard-line materialists, who argued that if all our actions are determined by a physical chain of cause and effect, why should any be exculpated on that basis? Of course, mens rea largely came into common law from canonists originally. It is simply impossible to come up with a secular basis for the current state of law. Also, observe how the situation has never been resolved: courts give leniency to kleptomaniacs even though the only real symptom is a proclivity to commit more crime. DSM task-force could do the same for murder and we would have to just put up with it.*

ENDNOTES

[1] DOUG DRAKE (2013), "Of Race and Intelligence": web.archive.org/web/20240624165910/https://helian.net/blog/2013/10/20/designer-babies/of-race-and-intelligence/.

[2] ELIEZER YUDKOWSKY (2011), "Complex Value Systems are Required to Realize Valuable Futures": web.archive.org/web/20150327122322/https://intelligence.org/files/ComplexValues.pdf.

[3] JULIUS EVOLA (2002), "Work—the Demonic Nature of the Economy" [chapter from Evola's *Men Among the Ruins*]: https://archive.ph/R3yez.

[4] NICK LAND (2014), "How Terror Works": web.archive.org/web/20140306014144/http://www.ufblog.net/how-terror-works.

[5] NICK LAND (2014), "Alphanomics": web.archive.org/web/20150112133849/xenosystems.net/alphanomics/.

[6] JON BALSERAK (2014), "John Calvin's Prophetic Calling and the Memory": web.archive.org/web/20140712072140/https://blog.oup.com/2014/07/john-calvin-prophetic-calling-memory/.

[7] KEITH A. SPENCER (2015), "Fear of a Capitalist Planet": https://web.archive.org/web/20221130171641/https://jacobin.com/2015/04/aliens-extraterrestrials-active-seti/.

[8] PETER MOORE (2016), "Racial Attitudes Differ More on Ideology than Class": web.archive.org/web/20241011030628/https://today.yougov.com/politics/articles/14538-racial-attitudes-differ-ideology-class.

[9] JAMES TRAUB (2016), "The Death of the Most Generous Nation on Earth": web.archive.org/web/20160211105940/https://foreignpolicy.com/2016/02/10/the-death-of-the-most-generous-nation-on-earth-sweden-syria-refugee-europe/.

[10] PAVLOS TSIMAS (2016), "A Greek Tragedy In A Spanish Setting": web.archive.org/web/20221206022223/https://www.huffpost.com/entry/a-greek-tragedy-in-a-span_b_9201214.

[11] ZEROTH POSITION (2016), "Neoreactionaries Are Off Their Heads About Trump": web.archive.org/web/20161220192804/http://zerothposition.com/2016/12/03/neoreactionaries-off-heads-trump/.

[12] JOHN DERBYSHIRE (2017), "'Not Even Wrong'—Who Are the Fascists Here?": web.archive.org/web/20170224030614/http://www.vdare.com/posts/radio-derb-transcript-for-feb-17-up-not-even-wrong-who-are-the-fascists-here.

[13] CHARLES MURRAY (2017), "Charles Murray's SPLC Page as Edited by Charles Murray": web.archive.org/web/20170331013803/https://aei.org/publication/charles-murrays-splc-page-as-edited-by-charles-murray/.

[14] ERIK KUEHNELT-LEDDIHN (1988), "Democracy's Road to Tyranny": web.archive.org/web/20160307085011/https://fee.org/articles/democracys-road-to-tyranny/.

[15] CHENCHEN ZHANG (2017), "The Curious Rise of the 'White Left' as a Chinese Internet Insult": web.archive.org/web/20190331205103/https://www.opendemocracy.net/en/digitalibera/curious-rise-of-white-left-as-chinese-internet-insult/.

[16] GREGORY COCHRAN (2017), "Same Old": web.archive.org/web/20171013040529/https://westhunt.wordpress.com/2017/08/28/same-old/.

[17] PETER THIEL (2009), "The Education of a Libertarian": web.archive.org/web/20130507042501/https://www.cato-unbound.org/2009/04/13/peter-thiel/education-libertarian/.

[18] SLAVOJ ŽIŽEK (2018), "Why Do People Find Jordan Peterson So Convincing? Because the Left Doesn't Have Its Own House in Order": web.archive.org/web/20201027060022/https://www.independent.co.uk/voices/jordan-peterson-clinical-psychologist-canada-popularity-convincing-why-left-wing-alt-right-cathy-newman-a8208301.html.

[19] LANCE WELTON (2018), "Dysgenics and Low Creativity: Why China Can't Save Civilization": web.archive.org/web/20180827115726/https://www.unz.com/article/dysgenics-and-low-creativity-why-china-cant-save-civilization/.

[20] ZERO HP LOVECRAFT (2018), "The NPC Question Has Reached Critical Mass": https://x.com/0x49fa98/status/1040713488933445632 (https://archive.ph/hPeY4).

[21] ABRAHAM LOEB (2018), "How to Search for Dead Cosmic Civilizations": web.archive.org/web/20240701161639/https://www.scientificamerican.com/blog/observations/how-to-search-for-dead-cosmic-civilizations/.

[22] ROBERT D. KAPLAN (2018), "The Anarchy That Came": web.archive.org/web/20181022050638/https://nationalinterest.org/feature/anarchy-came-33872.

[23] AUDACIOUS EPIGONE (2019), "Average IQ of College Undergrad and Graduate Degree Holders by Decade": web.archive.org/web/20190106165539/https://www.unz.com/anepigone/average-iq-of-college-undergrads-and-graduate-degree-holders-by-decade/.

[24] SPANDRELL (2019), "Tucker Carlson's War Against Woke Capital and the Future of the Right": web.archive.org/web/20190122015424/https://bloodyshovel.wordpress.com/2019/01/21/tucker-carlsons-war-against-woke-capital-and-the-future-of-the-right/.

[25] AUDACIOUS EPIGONE (2020), "Agnosticism Quotient": web.archive.org/web/20200104130913/https://www.unz.com/anepigone/agnosticism-quotient/.

[26] JAMES KIRKPATRICK (2020), "Battle of Empires: Why Han China Is Defeating Self-Hating America": web.archive.org/web/20200110063425/https://vdare.com/articles/battle-of-empires-why-han-china-is-defeating-self-hating-america.

[27] SCOTT ALEXANDER (2020), "Book Review: Human Compatible": web.archive.org/web/20200202014346/https://slatestarcodex.com/2020/01/30/book-review-human-compatible/.

[28] ANATOLY KARLIN (2020), "National IQ in 2019": web.archive.org/web/20200609105008/https://www.unz.com/akarlin/iq-2019.

[29] ROBERT REICH (2021), "We Spend $107,575,000,000 More on Police than on Public Housing": https://x.com/RBReich/status/1381698145046814722 (https://archive.ph/eLcSN).

[30] GLENN GREENWALD (2022), "The Pressure Campaign on Spotify to Remove Joe Rogan Reveals the Religion of Liberals: Censorship": web.archive.org/web/20220201034436/https://greenwald.substack.com/p/the-pressure-campaign-on-spotify.

[31] SUCKS (2022), "Why Do We All Assume Artificial General Intelligence Implies Agency?": https://x.com/powerbottomdad1/status/1488997888277442562 (https://archive.ph/lmvhe).

[32] ERIC LENDRUM (2022), "Poll: Majority of Americans Do Not Want Modern Race Relations Taught in Schools, Favor Greater Parental Control of Curriculum": web.archive.org/web/20220209174107/https://amgreatness.com/2022/02/09/poll-majority-of-americans-do-not-want-modern-race-relations-taught-in-schools-favor-greater-parental-control-of-curriculum/.

[33] TYLER COWEN (2022), "The Invention of Power": web.archive.org/web/20220218065239/https://marginalrevolution.com/marginalrevolution/2022/02/the-invention-of-power.html.

[34] STEVE SAILER (2023), "Cartago Est Delenda": web.archive.org/web/20230114151036/https://www.unz.com/isteve/cartago-est-delenda/.

[35] ROKO (2023), "Controversial Take: Centrally Planned Economies Probably Work Better than Market Economies with

Today's Computers": https://x.com/RokoMijic/status/1650939281551007754 (https://archive.ph/tQhap).

[36] PETER THIEL (2023), "The Diversity Myth": web.archive.org/web/20230601123757/https://newcriterion.com/issues/2023/6/the-diversity-myth.

[37] JODIE ELIZABETH BOYER (2013), *Sin and Sanity in Nineteenth-Century America*: https://utoronto.scholaris.ca/server/api/core/bitstreams/d82367e5-bd8e-444a-930a-f298a9014ca3/content.